

Poking at shapes and sizes in the boundary layer

Leif Denby 6th Oct 2017 Leeds Dynamics Seminar

Aim



Aim

 Describe <u>statistics of boundary layer</u> relevant to <u>triggering convection</u> and the <u>sensitivity to presence of</u> <u>different phenomena</u>



• "What are the length-scales and magnitudes of perturbations which trigger convection?"

Aim

- Describe <u>statistics of boundary layer</u> relevant to <u>triggering</u> <u>convection</u> and their <u>sensitivity to presence of different</u> <u>phenomena</u>
 - for example: "What are the length-scales and magnitudes of perturbations which trigger convection?"
- To formulate a new convection trigger model for the MetOffice Unified Model
 - Part of 5-year NERC/MetOffice ParaCon project to provide a new convection parameterisation for the MetOffice model

Why?

- GCMs have too coarse resolution to fully represent convection (O(km))
 - Trigger (and evolution) of convection must be parameterised
 - These sub-grid features are known to be critical in predicting formation of convection



First case: RICO (Rain In Cumulus over the Ocean)

Shallow cumulus over surface with no surface heterogeneity



M. vanZanten et al 2011

simulation carried in UCLALES out by Cathy Hohenegger, MPI, Hamburg





boundarylayer updrafts

moist updrafts

deviation of total moisture from horizontal mean





- Split domain into successively smaller patches to evaluate change in statistics
 - scales of variability are different for different scalar fields
 - → ~90% of variability retained with L~1000m for θ_v and q_t , ~95% for w

Can we identify individual triggering objects?

- Identify (and later, track in time) boundary layer structures which cause convection to trigger
 - Developing cloudtracking code with Steven Boeing
- Use to partition distributions of variability by individual objects (of specific size, volume, shape, etc)



Buoyant elements defined by w > 0.5m/s in boundary layer of RICO simulation at t=480min

 Investigating using object topology as means of classification (Contour-tree analysis by Hamish Carr, Leeds)

What are characteristic sizes of objects in the boundary layer?

 Use Minkowski functionals to compute characteristic length-scales

$$V_{0} = V = \int dV$$

$$V_{1} = \frac{A}{6} = \frac{1}{6} \int dS$$

$$V_{2} = \frac{H}{3\pi} = -\frac{1}{6\pi} \int dS \nabla \cdot \hat{n}$$

$$W = \frac{2V_{1}}{\pi V_{2}}$$

$$W = \frac{2V_{1}}{\pi V_{2}}$$

$$T = \frac{V_{0}}{2V_{1}}$$

V: volume, A: area, H: mean curvature, κ_1 and κ_2 intrinsic local curvature ($\nabla \cdot \hat{n} = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2$)

What are the characteristic shapes of boundary layer structures?

Distributions of characteristic scales (from Minkowski functionals) In objects (w > 0.5m/s) in RICO t=1080min below-cloud (z < 675.0m) With minimum volume equivalent to r=100m sphere



What is shape of objects in the boundary layer?

Calculate the planarity (P) and filamentary (F) from Minkowski functional length-scales

$$P = \frac{W - T}{W + T}, F = \frac{L - W}{L + W}$$

Measures how pencil or disc-like an object is

What are the shapes of objects in the boundary layer?

Change of planarity and filamentarity with mean height of boundary-layer objects Object below z < 700.0m identified by w > 0.5m/s



What are the shapes of objects which carry most moisture flux?

Change of planarity with mean height of boundary-layer objects



Objects with largest vertical moisture flux become more planar towards boundary layer centre

Next steps

- Develop and converge on analysis methods to be used for analysing boundary layer structures
- Set up and run LES simulations (in MONC) which contain phenomena of interest
- Analyse structures and share results with rest of ParaCon
- Develop a model of convective triggering for the future :)

Thank you!

How does buoyancy change with height?

Or, what can we do with object identification?

Mean bouyancy of objects at varying heights t=480.0min, w > 0.5m/s



 Change to non-buoyant regime above z~400m, rising elements must have momentum to overcome barrier, analogy to quantum tunneling?

Other methods of analysis

- Cumulant-based analysis to find length-scales of correlation
 between different scalar fields (with Steven Tobias, Leeds)
 - Currently developing wavelet decomposition, since solution is not periodic and so local correlations may be more relevant



What are the length-scales of variability in the aggregated state?

Cross-sections of scalar fields in RICO at z=200.0m t=1440min

