

CROSS-DISCIPLINE DATA INTEGRATION IN RESERVOIR MODELLING: OPTIMIZING FLUID FLOW SIMULATION AND RESERVOIR MANAGEMENT

Hesham Al Qassab, J.C. Fitzmaurice, Z. Al Ali, M. Al Khalifa & P.W.J. Glover

University of Aberdeen & Saudi Aramco



Minimising Impact of Hydrocarbon Extraction

Data Integration Techniques
Improved Reservoir Modelling
Application the Unayzah Formation

Minimising Environmental Impact

Can take many forms

- → Remediation of current pollution
- → The limitation of spills at refineries
- → Improved methods of transporting oil
- → Improved rig decommissioning
- → The reduction and prevention of pollutant gas emission
- → Extending current field life using improved analysis techniques
- → Improvements to the design of drilling and production rigs
- → Improved seismic methods to reduce their impact upon the sealife





Fig1

Onshore Oil Reservoir in Saudi Arabia

Step 1: Hand-drawn Facies Maps



 Drawn by Geologists for the Unayzah A Formation from 13 zones in the reservoir at over 40 well locations

Step 2: Used to Build a 3D Model of Facies for the Reservoir



Using all well data, no inter-well interpolation

Step 3: A 3D Facies Model Constructed Using Sequential Indicator Simulation



Works by distributing facies available at wells in the inter-well volume

Step 4: Porosity (and Permeability) is Not Uniquely Defined by Facies



Facies alone cannot be used to predict reservoir porosity (or permeability)

Step 5: However, Cross-referencing to Electrical Logs and Core Shows a Characteristic Distribution for Each Facies

Type



Step 6: Build 3D Porosity Model Using Cluster Analysis and the 3D Facies

Model



Input data includes well porosity (or permeability), facies type, core data

Step 7: 3D Impedance Model of the Reservoir from Seismic Data



Step 8: 3D Impedance Model in Time **Domain Converted to Depth Domain**



Shows 1 layer of 15 in the reservoir, with wells (yellow) and sesimic lines (black)

Total model size = 217 x 162 x
 133 = 4.675 million cells

Fig10

Step 9: Univariate and Bivariate Analysis of Acoustic Impedance and Porosity



Cell-by-cell analysis

Step 10: Build 3D Model of Porosity Distribution Using Sequential Gaussian Simulation and Co-Located Co-Kriging

Uses bivariate AI/porosity and seismic data. The heterogeneity of the porosity is kept

Step 11: Build 3D Model of Permeability Distribution Using Cloud Transforms

 Uses core porosity/permeability data and well flow test data. The heterogeneity of the permeability is kept

Step 12: Finally, Use 3D Permeability Model on a Streamline Flow Simulation

 Final simulation is more accurate than conventional models

Step 13: The Conventional Model Results

 Final simulation is more accurate than conventional models

Step 14: The New Model is a Much Better Fit to Real Production Pressure Data

Integrating existing data with new geostatistical techniques is successful Porosity, Permeability, AI, and Facies models are all more realistic - retaining their natural heterogeneity Fluid flow simulation with the new methods is faster (CPU time) and more accurate

- Existing data can be used to improve reservoir analysis
- Improved reservoir analysis allows the field to produce more oil for longer
- Environmental damage is reduced by obviating the need for new reservoirs
- Despite this, oil production volume is maintained and improved