Enhancement of bauxite residue as a low-cost adsorbent for phosphorus in aqueous solution, using seawater and gypsum treatments
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ABSTRACT

Bauxite residue (red mud), the by-product produced in the alumina industry, is being produced at an estimated global rate of approximately 150 Mt per annum. Due to its highly alkaline nature, many refineries use neutralisation techniques such as mud farming (atmospheric carbonation), direct carbonation using carbon dioxide or reactions with seawater, to treat the bauxite residue and reduce its alkalinity prior to disposal in the BRDA (bauxite residue disposal area). Applying a treatment can render the bauxite residue non-hazardous and may also prepare the bauxite residue for reuse, particularly as an adsorbent. In this study, gypsum and seawater treatments were applied to the various bauxite residue samples obtained and the effects on its mineral, elemental and physiochemical properties were examined, as well as the effect on its phosphorus (P) adsorption capacity. It was found that in addition to reducing the alkalinity of all bauxite residue samples used, the P adsorption capacity was also enhanced following amendment with seawater or gypsum, particularly with gypsum. A positive correlation was detected between P adsorption and both Ca and CaO. A negative correlation was detected between the P adsorption and pH of the media. Fitting the data obtained from a batch adsorption experiment to the Langmuir adsorption isotherm, the maximum adsorption capacity was estimated to range from 0.345 to 2.73 mg P per g bauxite residue, highlighting the re-use potential for bauxite residue as an adsorbent for P.

© 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

During the extraction of alumina from bauxite ore using the Bayer process, a by-product called bauxite residue (red mud) (Kirwan et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2014) is produced. The global inventory for bauxite residue is approximately 3 billion tonnes, with an estimated annual production rate of 150 million tonnes (Evans, 2016; Mayes et al., 2016). Bauxite residue is highly alkaline (pH > 10) (Goloran et al., 2013), with a high salinity and sodicity (Gräfe et al., 2009). Current best practice within this industry includes careful planning and management of highly engineered bauxite residue disposal areas (BRDAs), avoiding contamination of the surrounding environment (Prajapati et al., 2016). In addition, some refineries use neutralisation techniques for the bauxite residue before disposal into the BRDAs (Klauber et al., 2011; IAI, 2015; Evans, 2016). These techniques include (1) direct carbonation, whereby the residue slurry is treated with either carbon dioxide, sulfur dioxide gas, or undergoes intensive mud farming using amphirollers (atmospheric carbonation) (Cooling, 2007; Fois et al., 2007; Dilmore et al., 2009; Evans, 2016) (2) addition of spent acids
and/or gypsum (CaSO\textsubscript{4}.2H\textsubscript{2}O) (Kirwan et al., 2013), or (3) reaction of residues with seawater (Hanahan et al., 2004; Palmer and Frost, 2009; Couperthwaite et al., 2014).

Bauxite residues typically comprise very fine particles, ranging from 0.01 μm to 200 μm (Pradhan et al., 1996). Depending on the type of bauxite ore used, in some refineries the bauxite residue undergoes a separation technique during processing (Evans, 2016), which allows it to be separated into two main fractions: a fine fraction with a particle size <100 μm and a coarse fraction with a particle size >150 μm (Eastham et al., 2006; Jones et al., 2012). The coarse fraction mainly consists of quartz (SiO\textsubscript{2}), whereas the fine fraction is dominated by iron (Fe) oxides (Snars and Gilkes, 2009).

The ratio of the fine to coarse fraction produced is dependent on the bauxite ore used and the Bayer process employed (Li, 2001). Refineries which carry out the separation technique, have found use for the coarse fraction to create roadways to the BRDA and/or storage embankments (Evans, 2016). However, finding appropriate options for the re-use of the fine fraction bauxite residue remains elusive (Power et al., 2011; IAI, 2015).

Fine fraction bauxite residue comprises Fe oxides (20–45%) and aluminium (Al) oxides (10–22%) (IAI, 2015), which make it suitable as a medium to adsorb phosphorus (P). The European Commission (EC) has identified waste management as an important aspect of the “circular economy” (European Commission, 2015), so in recent years, emphasis has been placed on investigating alternative methods of P recovery from wastewater (Grace et al., 2015, 2016). A move from the more conventional methods of P recovery such as biological removal and chemical precipitation (Wang et al., 2008), to the use of low-cost adsorbents from industrial solid wastes, such as bauxite residue, have been examined. In comparison to standard P removal by sand, bauxite residue has a high P retention capacity (Vohla et al., 2007). However, its P removal potential is enhanced following treatment by heat, acid or gypsum (Table 1). Of the methods employed, acid and heat treatment have proved most successful in increasing the P adsorption capacity of the bauxite residue, with maximum adsorption capacities of up to 203 mg P g\textsuperscript{-1} bauxite being achieved (Liu et al., 2007) compared to untreated residue (0.20 mg P g\textsuperscript{-1}; Grace et al., 2015) (Table 1). However, whilst acid and heat treatments have proven to be very successful in increasing the adsorption capacity of bauxite residue, they are expensive, energy consuming (using high temperatures up to 700 °C) (Xue et al., 2016), and, without further treatment, do not allow for the easy reuse of the bauxite residue (e.g. as a possible media for plant growth) (Xue et al., 2016).

Treatments such as seawater or gypsum provide relatively inexpensive, alternative treatments, which may not only enhance the P adsorption capacity of the bauxite residue media, but may also help to improve its physicochemical characteristics. Seawater treatment improves bauxite’s physical structure, due to the addition of magnesium (Mg) and calcium (Ca) which behave as flocculating agents, allowing many of the fine particles in bauxite residue to form more stable aggregates (Jones and Haynes, 2011), and a partial decrease in sodium (Na) due to ion exchange with Mg, Ca and potassium (K) (Hanahan et al., 2004). Seawater-treated bauxite residues also allow adsorbed P to become bio-available, unlike the metal cations which are unavailable, highlighting the P and metal retention capabilities (Ferguson, 2009). Revegetation of bauxite residue using gypsum has also improved plant growth by reducing its alkalinity and salinity, and improving the structure of the residue (Courtney et al., 2009; Courtney and Kirwan, 2012). In addition to this, modern alumina refineries are often located close to deep water ports, to allow for the bulk shipment of incoming bauxite (sometimes from multiple sources) to the refinery and/or for bulk shipment of alumina to aluminium smelters situated elsewhere. Therefore, there is ample scope for the increasing use of seawater neutralization technology for pre-treatment of residues in refineries not already employing treatments previously mentioned, prior to their deposition in the BRDA.

To the best of the authors’ knowledge, no study has previously compared the use of raw seawater or gypsum treatments on the separate fractions of bauxite residue as a method of neutralisation and preparation for the re-use of bauxite residue in its separated and unseparated fractions as low-cost adsorbents and a potential source of P. The objectives of this study were to (1) characterise bauxite residue from two different sources, before and after treatment with seawater and gypsum, and to investigate their potential to release trace elements (2) investigate the effect of the treated bauxite residue on P adsorption (3) assess the impact of particle size, mineral and elemental (particularly Ca and Mg) composition of the bauxite residue on the adsorption of P.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sample preparation

A 1 kg sample of fresh bauxite residue was obtained from Alteo Gardanne [Gardanne, France (43°27’9”N, 52°7’41”E)], who operate a co-disposal method for fine and coarse fractions of bauxite. This sample will be referred to hereafter as UFR. One kilogram of mulfarmed bauxite residue samples (treated by atmospheric

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Phosphorus (P) adsorption studies that have been carried out using bauxite residues, untreated and treated residues, and their recovery efficiencies.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P recovery technique</td>
<td>Factors investigated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Untreated bauxite residue</td>
<td>Batch adsorption experiment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gypsum Treated</td>
<td>Batch adsorption experiment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brine treated bauxite residue (Bauxsol™)</td>
<td>Batch adsorption experiment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid and brine treated bauxite residue (Bauxsol™)</td>
<td>Batch adsorption experiment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat treated bauxite residue</td>
<td>Batch adsorption experiment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid and heat treated bauxite residue</td>
<td>Batch adsorption experiment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid treated bauxite residue</td>
<td>Batch adsorption experiment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\* Bauxsol™ — neutralised bauxite residue produced using the Basecon™ procedure, which uses brines high in Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and Mg\textsuperscript{2+} (McConchie et al., 2001).
carbonation and therefore non-hazardous), were also obtained from Rusal Aughinish Alumina [Limerick, Ireland (5237°06’N, 904°19’W)], who separate the fine (particle sizes <100 μm) and coarse (particle sizes >150 μm) fraction of bauxite residue before disposal (IAL, 2015) in a ratio of 9:1 (fine: coarse). The fine and coarse fractions will be referred to hereafter as UF (untreated fine) and UC (untreated coarse).

Before any analysis or experiments were conducted, all bauxite residue samples were dried at 105 °C for 24 h. Once dry, the samples were pulverised using a mortar and pestle and sieved to a particle size <2 mm. 0.3 kg of each sample were then treated with either seawater (S) or laboratory-grade gypsum (G) (Lennox, Ireland), so two treatments were applied to each source of bauxite residue. S or G, placed after the above abbreviations, indicates the treatment applied. Gypsum was applied to the 0.3 kg bauxite residue samples at a ratio of 8% (w/w) (Lopez et al., 1998) and leached for 72 h in accordance with standard methods (British Standard Institution, 2002). Seawater amendment involving mixing with 0.3 kg bauxite at a ratio of 5:1 (v/w) (after Johnston et al., 2010), for 1 h, followed by a 12 h settlement period overnight. The bauxite residue and seawater mixture was then filtered through a 0.45 μm membrane using a vacuum pump. The treated bauxite residue samples were then oven dried for 24 h, pulverised with a mortar and pestle, and sieved to <2 mm in size.

2.2. Characterisation study

Untreated and treated bauxite samples were characterised (n = 3) for their physical, chemical, elemental and mineralogical properties. Soil pH and electrical conductivity (EC) were measured in an aqueous extract, using 5 g of bauxite residue sample in a 1:5 ratio (solid: liquid) (Courtney and Harrington, 2010). The bulk density (\(p_b\)) was determined after Blake (1965) and the particle density (\(p_p\)) after Blake and Hargre (1986) using 10 g of bauxite residue samples. Total pore space (\(S_t\)) was calculated using the values obtained for the bulk and particle densities (Danielson and Sutherland, 1986). The effective particle size analysis (PSA) was determined on particle sizes <53 μm using optical laser diffraction on the Malvern Zetasizer 3000HS® (Malvern, United Kingdom) with online autotitrator and a Horiba LA-920, and reported at a specific cumulative % (10, 50 and 90%). Mineralogical detection was carried out using X-ray diffraction (XRD) on 1 g samples using a Philips XPert PRO MPD® (California, USA), whilst surface morphology and elemental detection were carried out using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) on a Hitachi SU-70 (Berkshire, UK), using approximately 1 g samples. Quantification of the elemental content was carried out on 1 g samples by Brookside Laboratories (OH, USA) after digestion (EPA, 1996) using Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-AES) and elemental composition quantified using X-ray fluorescence (XRF). Measurement of the point of zero charge (PZCpH) was after Vakros et al. (2002) using 1 g samples, and cation exchange capacity (CEC) was determined using the K saturation technique (Thomas, 1982), using 5 g samples. Brunauer-Emmett-Teller specific surface area (SSA) and pore volume analysis were conducted on 1 g samples, which were degassed at 120 °C for 3 h prior to analysis carried out by Glastree Laboratories (Cork, Ireland).

2.3. Phosphorus adsorption batch study

The P adsorption capacity of nine bauxite samples (untreated and gypsum/seawater treated samples) were examined in a bench-scale experiment. To conduct a P adsorption isotherm test, orthophosphorus (PO\(_4\)^3–P) solutions were made up to known concentrations using potassium dihydrogen phosphate (K\(_2\)HPO\(_4\)) in distilled water. One gram of each of the sieved media was placed into a series of 50 ml-capacity containers and was overlain with 25 ml of the solutions. Each sample was then shaken in a reciprocal shaker at 250 rpm for 24 h. At t = 24 h, the supernatant water from each sample container was filtered using 0.45 μm filters and analysed immediately using a nutrient analyser (Konelab 20, Thermo Clinical Labsystems, Finland). The data obtained from the P adsorption batch studies were modelled using the Langmuir adsorption isotherm (McBride, 2000), which assumes monolayer adsorption on adsorption sites and allows for the estimation of the maximum P adsorption capacity (\(q_{\text{max}}\)) of the media:

\[
q_i = q_{\text{max}} \left( \frac{k_a C_e}{1 + k_a C_e} \right)
\]  

where \(q_i\) is the quantity of the contaminant adsorbed per gram of media (g g\(^{-1}\)), \(C_e\) is the equilibrium contaminant concentration in the water (g m\(^{-3}\)), \(k_a\) is a measure of the affinity of the contaminant for the media (m\(^3\) g\(^{-1}\)), and \(q_{\text{max}}\) is the maximum amount of the contaminant that can be adsorbed onto the media (g g\(^{-1}\)).

2.3.1. Mobilization of metals

To determine whether the residue media released trace elements, 25 ml of water was mixed with 1 g of media for 24 h and the supernatant was analysed by ICP-MS. The elements selected for detection were Al, arsenic (As), barium (Ba), beryllium (Be), boron (B), cadmium (Cd), Ca, chromium (Cr), copper (Cu), Fe, gallium (Ga), K, lead (Pb), Mg, manganese (Mn), mercury (Hg), molybdenum (Mo), Na, nickel (Ni), P, selenium (Se), silicon (Si), titanium (Ti), vanadium (V), and zinc (Zn).

2.4. Statistical analysis

Linear regression analysis was utilised to examine the extent of correlation between the individual characteristic parameters of the bauxite residue samples and bauxite adsorption, using Minitab. A Pearson correlation coefficient and a correlation p-value were determined to quantify correlation. The p-value represents the probability that the correlation between the bauxite residue characteristic in question and the response variable (adsorption) is zero i.e. the probability that there is no relationship between the two.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterisation of bauxite residue

3.1.1. Effect of treatments on elemental and mineralogical composition

The mineral and total elemental composition of the three untreated bauxite residues [UF (untreated fine fraction), UC (untreated coarse fraction), and UFR (untreated co-disposed)] are shown in Tables 2 and 3. Bauxite residues are typically high in Fe and Al oxides (Liu et al., 2007), which was found to be the case in this study. The mineralogical composition present for all untreated samples was dominated by Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), Al\(_2\)O\(_3\), SiO\(_2\) and CaO. A decrease in Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) was noted following treatment with the gypsum and the seawater in all samples, with an increase in CaO content noted in samples treated with gypsum.

XRD analysis showed that the main crystalline phases present in UF were haematite (Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)), goethite (Fe(OH)\(_3\)), perovskite (CaTiO\(_3\)), boehmite (AlO(OH)), rutile (TiO\(_2\)), gibbsite (Al(OH)\(_3\)) and sodalite Na\(_8\)Al\(_6\)Si\(_6\)O\(_{24}\)Cl\(_2\) (Figure S1 in the Supplementary Material). Similarly, the main minerals in UFR were haematite (Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)),
goethite (FeO(OH)), boehmite (AlO(OH)), rutile (TiO₂), gibbsite (Al(OH)₃) and sodalite Na₈(Al₆Si₄O₁₄)Cl₂ (Figure S2). Boehmite (AlO(OH)), rutile (TiO₂), gibbsite (Al(OH)₃) haematite (Fe₂O₃) were the predominant minerals present in UC (Figure S3). Following treatment with seawater and gypsum, a change in mineral phase in UFG, UFS, UFRS and UFRG occurred (Figure S4, S5, S6, S7). Following treatment with gypsum and seawater, pH decreased and the UC. The reduction in Fe and Al following treatment with either gypsum and seawater treatments. Arsenic, Fe and Al were mobilised from the UF sample, but these concentrations were reduced following treatment with gypsum and seawater. Aluminium was mobilised from the UC. The reduction in Fe and Al following treatment with either gypsum or seawater is in line with previous studies, which have shown that water soluble Fe and Al decrease following gypsum application (Courtney and Timpson, 2005). Overall, Al still remained above the maximum allowable concentration (MAC) of 0.2 mg L⁻¹ (200 µg L⁻¹) (EPA, 2014) for Al for drinking water. Sodium was still at a high level following gypsum and seawater treatments, ranging from 139.3 ± 2.2 to 153 ± 24.8 mg L⁻¹ and 241.3 ± 26 to 388.7 ± 18.6 mg L⁻¹, respectively. The MAC for Na in drinking water is 200 mg L⁻¹ (EPA, 2014).

3.1.2. Effect of treatments on physicochemical properties

The untreated bauxite residues had high pH (10.8 ± 0.12 to 11.9 ± 0.06) and EC (704 ± 90.8 to 1184 ± 48.8 µS cm⁻¹) (Table 4). Following treatment with gypsum and seawater, pH decreased and
EC increased. Changes for pH after treatment with either seawater or gypsum are due to precipitation of calcium carbonates such as calcite, brucite and aragonite, which behave as buffers and maintain a reduced pH (Menzies et al., 2004), while the increase in EC is attributable to the introduction of excess Na⁺ and Ca²⁺ (Gráfe et al., 2009). The pH of bauxite residue is normally within the range of 11–13 (Newson et al., 2006), but varies due to the type of bauxite ore, Bayer process, and neutralisation techniques used in the refinery. Both seawater (Menzies et al., 2004; Johnston et al., 2010) and gypsum applications (Jones and Haynes, 2011; Courtney and Kirwan, 2012; Lehoux et al., 2013) are recognised methods of reducing the surface morphology of bauxite residues.

No change was observed in the particle size or particle size density following the addition of the gypsum and seawater treatments to the various bauxite residue samples (Table 4). Similarly, the addition of gypsum or seawater did not have any impact on bulk density (Table 4).

The surface morphology of bauxite residues typically comprises 30% amorphous and 70% crystalline phase (Gráfe et al., 2009). However, in this study SEM imaging suggests that the bauxite residue samples were not present in strong crystalline form (Fig. 1), in particular for samples UF and UFR, as no distinctive crystalline features were evident in the SEM (Fig. 1). Improved aggregate formation was noticeable in the gypsum and seawater-treated bauxite residues (Fig. 1), due to the addition of Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺, which results in flocculation (Zhu et al., 2016). Changes in the surface morphology were also evident in the gypsum and seawater-treated residues in comparison to the untreated residues, which appeared to have a much smoother surface (Fig. 1). This change in surface morphology following the treatments was attributed to the changes in mineral phase (Huang et al., 2008).

3.2. Phosphorus adsorption study

3.2.1. Effect of seawater and gypsum treatment on P adsorption

All nine bauxite residue samples in this study were successful in removing P from aqueous solution (Table 5). Bauxite residue has been shown in numerous P adsorption studies to have a high P retention capacity, particularly following treatment or modification (Ye et al., 2014; Grace et al., 2015). In this study, gypsum or seawater treatment had a positive impact on P removal, with the gypsum-treated bauxite residue performing best (Table 5).

Following seawater treatment, the P adsorption capacity of the bauxite residues increased to q max values of 0.48, 0.66 and 1.92 mg P g⁻¹ media for UFS, UCG and UFRS, respectively. In previous studies, following treatment with seawater, bauxite residue had a higher adsorption capacity for P. Akhurst et al. (2006) reported a maximum adsorption of 6.5 mg P g⁻¹ when using a bauxite residue treated with brine (Bauxsol®). This relatively high adsorption may be attributed to the higher concentrations of Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ in the brines (or products such as Bauxsol®), developed by Basecon™, in comparison to raw seawater (0.41, 1.29 and 10.77 g kg⁻¹ of Ca²⁺, Na and Mg²⁺, respectively) used in this study (Gráfe et al., 2009). The gypsum-treated bauxite residues had the highest q max values – 2.46, 1.39 and 2.73 mg P g⁻¹ media for UFS, UCG and UFRG, respectively. However, these values were lower than a P adsorption study carried out by Lopez et al. (1998), who used the same application rate of gypsum to the bauxite residue samples and reported a q max of 7.03 mg P g⁻¹. The lower rate observed in the current study may be attributed to the 72 h leaching process that the gypsum-treated bauxite residue underwent before use in the adsorption study, which may have allowed
for further exchange and removal of Ca\(^{2+}\) following the leaching process.

Overall, the bauxite residue in the current study had a higher P adsorbency than in other studies for zeolite (0.01 mg P g\(^{-1}\) media; Grace et al., 2015) and granular ceramics (0.9 mg g\(^{-1}\); Chen et al., 2012), but lower than fly ash, granular blast furnace slag and pyritic fill (6.48, 3.61 and 0.88 mg P g\(^{-1}\); respectively; Grace et al., 2015), crushed concrete (19.6 mg P g\(^{-1}\); Egemose et al., 2012), untreated biochar (32 mg P g\(^{-1}\); Wang et al., 2015), and NaOH-modified coconut shell powder (200 mg P g\(^{-1}\); de Lima et al., 2012).

### 3.2.2. Factors affecting P adsorption

The adsorption of P onto media is influenced by many factors which include particle size, pH, component and surface characteristics (Wang et al., 2016). Numerous studies have investigated the effect of parameters such as kinetics of P adsorption (Akhurst et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2007; Ye et al., 2014; Grace et al., 2015), ionic solution (Akhurst et al., 2006), pH (Liu et al., 2007; Huang et al., 2008; Grace et al., 2015) on the adsorption of P from aqueous solution. While all bauxite residue samples in this study did remove P from aqueous solution, it is clear that the application of treatments, such as gypsum or seawater, has an effect on the adsorption capability, and that the rate of adsorption will vary as a result of the source of bauxite residue and treatments used (Wang et al., 2008).

The parameters which showed a statistically significant positive correlation of medium strength with P adsorption in this study were Ca (correlation coefficient = 0.47, p = .01, Degrees of Freedom = 25) and CaO (correlation coefficient = 0.39, p = .04, DoF = 25). A statistically significant negative correlation of medium strength was also detected between pH and P adsorption (correlation coefficient = -0.38, p = .05, DoF = 25). pH was a contributing factor to the adsorption process with the amount of phosphate adsorbed increasing with a decrease in pH in the media following treatments, UFRG > UFRS > UFR, UFG > UFS > UF, UCG > UCS > UC. This was a similar finding to several studies carried out (Li et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2007; Huang et al., 2008; Grace et al., 2015). The Ca ions also influenced P adsorption. This is as a result of the high level of Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) present in the bauxite residue, particularly after seawater and gypsum treatments, when the majority of PO\(_4^{3-}\) is removed from solution due to the formation of magnesium phosphate (Mg\(_3\)(PO\(_4\))\(_2\)) and calcium phosphate (Ca\(_3\)(PO\(_4\))\(_2\)) (Akhurst et al., 2006).

The pH at which net charges are neutral on the surface of the adsorbent - the point of zero charge (PZC) - influences the rate of adsorption of P (Jacukowicz-Sobala et al., 2015). Where the pH is higher than the PZCpH, the surface of the adsorbent media becomes more negative (attracting more cations), as a result of the adsorption of OH\(^-\) from the surrounding solution (Prajapati et al.,

### Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Media</th>
<th>Treatment method employed</th>
<th>Untreated</th>
<th>Gypsum</th>
<th>Seawater</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mg P g(^{-1}) media</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFR</td>
<td>1 (0.99)</td>
<td>2.73 (0.99)</td>
<td>1.92 (0.99)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UF</td>
<td>0.38 (0.99)</td>
<td>2.46 (0.97)</td>
<td>0.48 (0.99)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC</td>
<td>0.35 (0.98)</td>
<td>1.39 (0.99)</td>
<td>0.66 (0.99)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. SEM (10 kV; magnification 2,000; working distance 16.8 mm) imaging for the three untreated bauxite residue pre and post treatment with either gypsum or seawater.
The PZCpH ranged from 6.16 ± 0.21 to 6.96 ± 1.21 (Table 4) in the three untreated samples. Following treatment with gypsum and seawater, there were notable changes, but no statistical relevance was detected between the PZCpH and P adsorption in this study. However, as bauxite residue is composed of numerous minerals, each with their own individual PZCpH (which, as noted in the literature, can range from anywhere between pH 2 to pH 9.8 (Grafe et al., 2009)), this results in the bauxite residue being able to cater for a wide range of pH (Grafe et al., 2009) and also having the capability of removing both cations and anions from solution.

The SSA analysis carried out on the bauxite residues show an increase in specific surface area in all samples following treatment with either the gypsum or the seawater (Table 4). There was also an increase in pore volume following the addition of either gypsum or seawater (Table 4). This is attributed to the formation of precipitates formed in the neutralisation process of both gypsum and seawater and the effect of the Ca acting as a flocculant with the finer particles present. This increase in surface area also contributes to the increase in P adsorption following treatments. Although particle size affects adsorption onto media, due to the availability of sites for P uptake, no significant correlation was observed in the current study.

3.3. Implications of the findings of this study

The use of gypsum and seawater treatments on bauxite residue improved the overall P adsorption capacity of the bauxite residue samples, but mixing the bauxite residue and treatments with actual wastewater will be necessary to fully understand the total adsorption behaviour of the bauxite residue. In addition to improving the P adsorption, alkalinity was significantly reduced following both treatments; however, the EC was increased. This may limit the growth of plants on the gypsum or seawater-treated bauxite residues; therefore, one option may be to increase the rinsing period of the bauxite residue following treatment to remove the excess Ca²⁺ and Na⁺ ions in solution. Lowering the alkalinity, increasing the P, Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ content and improving the physical structure, provide the possible re-use option of using the treated bauxite residue as a growth media.

For a refinery, the cost of neutralisation techniques is an obvious consideration when deciding which technique(s) to use. The use of seawater as a neutralisation technique would be a cheap and feasible option for a refinery that is close to the sea. The establishment of a pipeline (if not already in place) would be the dominant capital cost. The use of a Nano filtration system to concentrate the Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺ and Na⁺ ions in the seawater (Couperthwaite et al., 2014) could allow for the reduction in volume of seawater necessary for the neutralisation process, but may add to the cost. Gypsum however may be a more expensive option, requiring machinery such as amphibrolls for the mixing and spreading of the gypsum. However, depending on the refinery’s location, waste gypsum from construction sites or fossil fuel powered power stations may be used (Jones and Haynes, 2011).

4. Conclusions

This study examined the impact of gypsum and seawater treatments on the mineral, elemental and physiochemical properties of bauxite residue. The untreated bauxite residues were high in Fe and Al oxides and their mineralogical composition was dominated by Fe₂O₃, Al₂O₃, SiO₂ and CaO. Following treatment with gypsum and seawater, the pH decreased and EC increased, but no change was observed in the particle size or density. The SSA and pore volume of the bauxite increased following both treatments, which contributed to increased P adsorbency. Although the P adsorbency measured in this study was not as high as measured in other studies using different media, it still indicates that reuse in water or wastewater treatment facilities may be an appropriate option for bauxite residue.
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