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ABSTRACT: Red mud leachate (pH 13) collected from Ajka, Hungary is neutralized to < pH 10 by HCl, gypsum, or seawater addition. During acid neutralization >99% Al is removed from solution during the formation of an amorphous boehmite-like precipitate and dawsonite. Minor amounts of As (24%) are also removed from solution via surface adsorption of As onto the Al oxyhydroxides. Gypsum addition to red mud leachate results in the precipitation of calcite, both in experiments and in field samples recovered from rivers treated with gypsum after the October 2010 red mud spill. Calcite precipitation results in 86% Al and 81% As removal from solution, and both are nonexchangeable with 0.1 mol L−1 phosphate solution. Contrary to As associated with neoformed Al oxyhydroxides, EXAFS analysis of the calcite precipitates revealed only isolated arsenate tetrahedra with no evidence for surface adsorption or incorporation into the calcite structure, possibly as a result of very rapid As scavenging by the calcite precipitate. Seawater neutralization also resulted in carbonate precipitation, with >99% Al and 74% As removed from solution during the formation of a poorly ordered hydrotalcite phase and via surface adsorption to the neoformed precipitates, respectively. Half the bound As could be remobilized by phosphate addition, indicating that As was weakly bound, possibly in the hydrotalcite interlayer. Only 5–16% V was removed from solution during neutralization, demonstrating a lack of interaction with any of the neoformed precipitates. High V concentrations are therefore likely to be an intractable problem during the treatment of red mud leachates.

INTRODUCTION

Red mud is the fine fraction residue produced during aluminum extraction from bauxite in the Bayer process. The process is relatively inefficient and up to 2 tonnes of red mud are produced for every tonne of product.1 Increasing demand for Al has seen the rate of red mud production increase, with 60–120 million tonnes being produced annually.1 Despite efforts to find suitable after-uses for red mud,13–16 as yet no low-cost alternative to landfill has been extensively used. Therefore, the vast majority of red mud waste is stored in land-based bauxite residue disposal areas (BRDAs).13,14

Due to the use of NaOH, red mud is caustic,12,13 and water in contact with it is saline (up to 160 mS cm−2) and highly alkaline (up to pH 13).13,15 Several oxyanionic forming elements are very soluble at high pH and problematic concentrations of Al (500–1000 mg L−1), As (3–5 mg L−1), and V (5–10 mg L−1) occur in the leachate.14,16 The accidental release of around 1 million tonnes of red mud suspension from the Ajka BRDA, Hungary, in October 201017,18 focused world attention on the potential hazards associated with red mud. (Prior to the 2010 accident untreated red mud was pumped into the BRDA at 20–25% w/w solid,16 and the material released in the Oct 2010 spill contained ~8–10% w/w solids.19 After the accident a dry-stacking method was introduced where red mud is dewatered (~60% w/w solids) and mixed with 10% gypsum v/v.17) The consequences of the spill for soil toxicity, river transport, freshwater ecology, human health, and trace metal behavior have all been recently investigated.13,14,16,17,20–27 Research effort has also investigated the effectiveness of emergency measures taken after the spill to reduce the environmental impact of the red mud, which...
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included acid dosing of residual alkaline leachates and widespread addition of large volumes of gypsum to red mud affected rivers.14,16,26

The chief aim of these emergency measures was to reduce pH. This removes alkalinity and reduces the solubility of oxyanions due to enhanced sorption to solids.28 Neutralization of red mud and related leachate is commonly employed by the aluminum industry to both treat the red mud prior to disposal and as an amendment for improving prospects of establishing aluminum industry to treat the red mud prior to disposal every mole of calcite precipitated (eq 1;26) and the carbonate 2;4,31). Red mud leachate contains very little soluble Ca2+ or Mg2+4,14. At elevated pH, any free Ca2+ or Mg2+ is rapidly removed by reaction with the high carbonate alkalinity present, forming solid carbonates.54 Addition of gypsum to alkaline red mud releases Ca2+ to solution. This reacts rapidly with carbonate in the leachate (and any CO2 that subsequently dissolves in the leachate34,35) to precipitate calcite.36–38 Neutralization occurs as two moles of OH− are consumed for every mole of calcite precipitated (eq 1;26) and the carbonate buffering capacity is increased. The carbonate precipitate may also provide additional sorption sites for removal of toxic trace elements39 and excess Ca2+ may react directly with aluminate to form phases such as hydrocalumite (Ca2Al(OH)-2H2O; eq 2,51).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CaSO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(s) + \text{CO}_2(aq) + 2\text{OH}^−(aq) & \rightarrow \text{CaCO}_3(s) + \text{SO}_4^{2−}(aq) + 3\text{H}_2\text{O}(l) \\
2\text{CaSO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(s) + 2\text{Al(OH)}_3(aq) + 3\text{OH}^−(aq) & \rightarrow \text{Ca}_2\text{Al(OH)}_7 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(s) + 2\text{SO}_4^{2−}(aq) + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(l)
\end{align*}
\]

Seawater addition works by a similar mechanism, except that the presence of Mg2+ leads to the formation of Mg/Al layered double hydroxide phases (e.g., hydrotalcite (Mg6Al2(CO3)−(OH)16·4H2O); eq 3;55) in addition to carbonate precipitation. Layered double hydroxide minerals contain an interlayer site occupied by anions, usually carbonate or sulfate, that can also accommodate toxic oxyanions such as arsenate and vanadate, reducing their solution concentrations.22,40

\[
\begin{align*}
6\text{Mg}^{2+}(aq) + 2\text{Al(OH)}_3(aq) + 8\text{OH}^−(aq) + \text{CO}_3^{2−}(aq) + 4\text{H}_2\text{O}(l) & \rightarrow \text{Mg}_6\text{Al}_2(\text{CO}_3)_6(\text{OH})_16 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}(s)
\end{align*}
\]

There is, however, little mechanistic understanding of trace element behavior during neutralization and a paucity of information on the stability of toxic elements sorbed by such precipitates. Indeed, lack of understanding of neutralization chemistry and leachate behavior has been highlighted as a significant knowledge gap in relation to the safe management of bauxite residues.51

This study therefore had the following specific objectives: (1) Investigate the changes in solution composition occurring during the neutralization of Ajka red mud leachate using HCl, gypsum, or seawater. (2) Identify the solid-phase neutralization products using electron microscopy and X-ray diffraction. (3) Determine the speciation of any solid-associated As and V using X-ray absorption spectroscopy. (4) To combine these data with chemical extractions to assess the long-term stability of toxic elements within any neoformed precipitates.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Field Sampling and Sample Handling.** In December 2010 a sediment sample (M7b) was recovered from a gypsum-amended14,26 stream bed ~80 km downstream from the Ajka red mud impoundment (location M7 in ref 14; in situ pH = 8.3; Lat 47°24′35″ N, Long 17°20′56″ E). The sample was air-dried, disaggregated using a mortar and pestle, and sieved to retain the <2 mm fraction. In May 2011 red mud leachate was collected from an open pond within cell 10 of the Ajka red mud impoundment (Lat 47°05′17″ N, Long 17°29′47″ E) and stored in polypropylene bottles until used.

**Leachate Neutralization Experiments.** All three neutralization methods used 100 mL aliquots of 0.2-μm filtered red mud leachate. After addition of the neutralizing agent, the experiments were left to equilibrate as suspensions in open containers (with foam bungs) on an orbital shaker (250 rpm) for the times indicated below. (1) HCl: An aliquot was neutralized to pH 8.3 (typical pH value recorded at sampling stations 5 km downstream of the Ajka red mud impoundment14) by dropwise addition of 6 mol L−1 HCl. Below pH 10.5 a cloudy white precipitate formed. The final pH 8.3 suspension was equilibrated for 42 days. (2) Gypsum: Gypsum (CaSO4·2H2O) powder (3 g) was added to an aliquot of leachate and equilibrated for 63 days (gypsum addition was limited to 3 g per 100 mL so that solid-phase As and V in the precipitate were not diluted below the concentration required for XAS analysis). (3) Seawater: An aliquot was mixed with 200 mL of 35 g L−1 artificial seawater solution41 following the method of ref 32. White precipitates formed immediately upon mixing and were equilibrated for 7 days. The pH was determined in all experiments using an Orion benchtop meter calibrated with pH 4, 7, and 10 buffer solutions. After equilibration, the suspensions were centrifuged for 10 min at 6000g. The supernatant was removed, filtered (0.2 μm), acidified (2% HNO3), and analyzed as below. The precipitates were washed once in deionized water and characterized as below.

**Sample Characterization.** Neutralization experiment precipitates were oven-dried at 70 °C prior to X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) analysis on a Bruker D8 Advance XRD with a Cu tube. The dried precipitates, and sample M7b, were also suspended for 24 h in 0.1 mol L−1 Na2HPO4 solution at pH 8 (0.1 g in 10 mL) to determine the concentrations of anions exchangeable with phosphate.42 Total digestions were conducted for comparison (in 2 mol L−1 HNO3 for the neutralization precipitates, or microwave-assisted digestion in aqua regia/HF for sample M7b). Elemental concentrations in all digests and aqueous samples were analyzed using a Perkin-Elmer Optima 5300 DV ICP-OES.

**Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM).** Approximately 10 mg of dried precipitate from the HCl neutralization experiment was suspended in ethanol, placed on a Cu support grid with holey carbon support film (Agar Scientific, UK), and air-dried prior to analysis. The specimen was examined using a Philips CM200 field emission gun TEM fitted with a scanning (STEM) unit and an Oxford Instruments 80 mm2 X-Max silicon drift X-ray detector (SDD) running Aztec software.
**X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy (XAS).** XANES and EXAFS data were collected at Beamline I18, Diamond Light Source, UK, in May 2012. Approximately 100 mg of each precipitate was prepared for analysis as moist pastes in Perspex holders with Kapton windows. The dried sediment sample (M7b) was prepared as a pressed pellet held in Kapton tape. XAS spectra were collected at the V and As K-edges (5465 and 11867 eV, respectively). Standard spectra were collected from a range of laboratory chemicals, aqueous solutions (1000 mg L⁻¹), vanadate sorbed to Al(OH)₃, and a hydrous Ca arsenate precipitate (Ca₃(AsO₄)₂·xH₂O). All XANES spectra were averaged and normalized using Athena v0.8.0.61 and plotted for samples and standards. V K-edge EXAFS samples spectra were affected by irresolvable interferences with the La L₃-edge observed at 5483 eV, therefore, these spectra were not analyzed. As K-edge EXAFS spectra from all samples were interference free and background subtracted using PySpline v1.1. EXAFS spectra were then fitted in DLEXCURV v1.0⁴⁴ to model clusters (SI Figure S1) representing various As binding environments relevant to the precipitates recovered from the leachate neutralization experiments. See SI Sections 1 and 2 for detailed descriptions and protocols.

**RESULTS**

**Solution Chemistry.** The red mud leachate (Table 1) contained slightly higher trace element concentrations compared to water samples collected in Dec 2010¹⁴ and had broadly similar composition to leachates reported from other BDRAs.¹⁵,³²,⁴⁵ Neutralization resulted in pH reduction from pH 13.1 in the raw leachate to pH 8.3 after HCl titration, pH 9.8 after gypsum treatment, and pH 9.7 after mixing with seawater. In general, leachate neutralization substantially removed Al from solution, however, the gypsum treatment was somewhat less effective (86% removed) than either the HCl or seawater treatments (99.7%). Very little B, Cr, Ga, Mo, or V was removed during HCl neutralization (74–81%). Very little B, Cr, Ga, Mo, or V was removed during HCl neutralization (5–12%), and gypsum treatment also resulted in relatively small removal of these elements (4–22%). Seawater treatment removed small amounts of B, Mo, and V (10–14%), but removed 41% of the Cr and almost all Ga (99%) from solution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>determinand</th>
<th>red mud leachate (µg L⁻¹)</th>
<th>HCl treated</th>
<th>gypsum treated</th>
<th>seawater treated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>352000</td>
<td>1220</td>
<td>49100 (86)</td>
<td>300 (99.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>8140</td>
<td>6220 (24)</td>
<td>1550 (81)</td>
<td>2120 (74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>1690</td>
<td>1490 (12)</td>
<td>1550 (58)</td>
<td>1530 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>169 (10)</td>
<td>167 (11)</td>
<td>110 (41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ga</td>
<td>2250</td>
<td>1990 (10)</td>
<td>1750 (22)</td>
<td>24 (99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>11600</td>
<td>10450 (9)</td>
<td>11030 (4)</td>
<td>10400 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>15600</td>
<td>14800 (5)</td>
<td>13100 (16)</td>
<td>13500 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Percent removed shown in parentheses. bDosage 30 g L⁻¹. cDosage 2 L L⁻¹. Values adjusted for dilution.

ordered dawsonite (NaAl(OH)₂CO₃)₄⁶. The gypsum neutralized sample contained only calcite peaks, with gypsum not detected in the XRD pattern. Likewise, calcite was the major phase and gypsum was not detected in the M7b stream sediment sample. However, a range of natural fluvial sediment minerals were also detected in M7b, including quartz (SiO₂), muscovite (KAl₃(AlSi₃O₁₀)(F,OH)₂), chlorite ((Mg₅Al)-(AlSi₃)O₁₀(OH)₈), and microcline (KAlSi₃O₈). XRD patterns from the seawater neutralized precipitate contained peaks consistent with poorly ordered hydrotalcite (Mg₆Al₂(CO₃)₆(H₂O)₁₆⁴⁻), calcite, aragonite (CaCO₃), and gypsum.

**TEM Analysis.** TEM imaging of the precipitate formed by HCl neutralization (Figure 2), shows that it was composed of 5–30 nm particles in 100–500 nm aggregates that were found to be largely amorphous by selected area electron diffraction (SAED). Semiquantitative EDX analysis of the molar O/Al ratio found that the molar O/Al ratio was 1.91 ± 0.15 and the Na/Al ratio was 0.10 ± 0.05. Several elements (including As, C, Ca, Cl, K, P, S, and Si) were also detected as minor peaks in EDX spectra. In EDX maps (SI Figure S2) Al, O, and As were evenly distributed throughout the aggregates but Na distribution was patchy.

**Phosphate Extractions.** Aluminum was largely not extractable by phosphate from any of the precipitates or M7b (Table 2). Some As was extracted by phosphate leaching of the HCl (19%) and seawater (49%) precipitates. In contrast, less than 5% of the As present was phosphate extractable from either the gypsum precipitate or M7b. Moderate amounts (10–27%) of V were extracted from the HCl, gypsum, or M7b samples by phosphate addition, and 47% of the V present was extracted from the seawater precipitate.

---

**Figure 1.** XRD patterns collected from dried precipitates recovered from leachate neutralization experiments and the M7b river sediment. Where present, the large calcite peak at ~29° 2θ has been truncated to allow vertical exaggeration to enhance the visibility of smaller peaks.
Vanadium XANES Analysis. The V K-edge XANES spectra (Figure 3) collected from the HCl and gypsum neutralization precipitates, and the M7b stream sediment, all have prominent pre-edge peaks between 5470 and 5470.3 eV (all energy values are quoted to ±0.2 eV) with normalized intensities between 0.57 and 0.71. The main absorption edges (\( E_{1/2} \)) are between 5480.4 and 5481.7 eV. The vanadate sorbed to Al(OH)₃ spectrum also has a pre-edge peak at 5470.2 eV, a normalized intensity of 0.64, and \( E_{1/2} = 5480.3 \) eV. The spectrum collected from the seawater neutralization precipitate has a pre-edge at 5470.2 eV, with a normalized intensity of 1.12, and \( E_{1/2} = 5482.4 \) eV. A summary of the spectral information extracted from all samples and standards is shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Pre-Edge Peak Position, Normalized Pre-Edge Peak Intensity, and Main Edge Position Determined from the V K-edge XANES Spectra Shown in Figure 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sample/compound</th>
<th>valence</th>
<th>pre-edge peak (eV)</th>
<th>normalized intensity</th>
<th>main edge, ( E_{1/2} ) (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{V}_2\text{O}_3 )</td>
<td>3⁺</td>
<td>5468.0</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>5476.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{VOSO}_4 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O} )</td>
<td>4⁺</td>
<td>5470.0</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>5478.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vanadate sorbed to Al(OH)₃</td>
<td>5⁺</td>
<td>5470.2</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>5480.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl precipitate</td>
<td>5⁺</td>
<td>5470.0</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>5481.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M7b sediment</td>
<td>5⁺</td>
<td>5470.2</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>5480.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gypsum precipitate</td>
<td>5⁺</td>
<td>5470.3</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>5481.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>seawater precipitate</td>
<td>5⁺</td>
<td>5470.2</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>5482.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vanadate (aq)</td>
<td>5⁺</td>
<td>5470.1</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>5482.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>calcium meta-vanadate</td>
<td>5⁺</td>
<td>5470.2</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>5482.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\) Energy values are quoted ±0.2 eV; normalized intensity values are quoted ±0.1.

Arsenic XAS Analysis. The As K-edge XANES spectra (Supporting Information Figure S3) collected from the neutralized precipitates and M7b River Sediment confirm that only As⁵⁺ is detectable in all samples. The arsenic K-edge EXAFS spectra collected from the gypsum precipitate and M7b (Figure 4) both have similar coordination environments with spectra fitted with 4 oxygen backscatters at 1.65–1.74 Å (Table 4). An additional peak identified in the Fourier transforms at around 2.8 Å is entirely attributed to...
multiple scattering within the arsenate tetrahedron.48 The fits to these spectra could not be statistically improved (reduced $\chi^2$) by including an additional shell of either Al or Ca backscatters at $\sim 3.2$ or $3.4\sim 3.6$ Å, respectively. EXAFS data collected from the HCl and seawater precipitate were best fit with an additional shell of $\sim 2$ Al backscatters at around $3.2$ or $3.3\sim 3.4$ Å, respectively, with a resultant 40–50% improvement in reduced $\chi^2$ compared to the one shell model (Table 4).

## DISCUSSION

### HCl Neutralization

The precipitate from the acid neutralization experiment removed $>99\%$ Al from solution and contained dawsonite. The formation of dawsonite from alkaline Al-rich liquors has been observed when the molar Na/Al ratio is greater than 3; below this value boehmite (AlO(OH)) is predicted to dominate.46 The molar Na/Al ratio of Ajka red mud leachate was $\sim 1$,$^{14}$ and EDX analysis of the HCl precipitate showed that the molar O/Al ratio was within error of that expected for boehmite (i.e., 2). Boehmite was not observed in XRD patterns, but SAED showed the precipitate was largely amorphous. It is therefore likely that early precipitation of an amorphous boehmite-like Al oxo-hydroxide in the acid neutralization experiment depleted aqueous Al to the point where dawsonite precipitation became favorable (the molar Na/Al after neutralization was $>100^{14}$). The molar Na/Al ratio in the precipitate (0.1) is only 10% of that expected for pure dawsonite (1.0); therefore dawsonite is in fact only a minor component of the acid neutralization precipitate.

Arsenic is only partially removed from solution during HCl neutralization. EXAFS analysis of the precipitate indicates that As is most likely present as arsenate adsorbed to the neoformed Al-oxo-hydroxides in bidentate corner-sharing complexes (see SI Figure S1b). Arsenate in such inner-sphere surface adsorption complexes is only partially susceptible to ligand exchange,$^5$,$^{42}$ and most of the adsorbed As is therefore not remobilized by phosphate addition. Arsenate adsorption to Al-oxo-hydroxides via bidentate corner-sharing complexes has been reported previously for similar HCl neutralization experiments.$^{16}$ The difference in this study was that the leachate was filtered at 0.2 μm prior to neutralization, and as a result the amount of As adsorption was much lower (24% rather than 94% when the leachate was unfiltered). This highlights the importance of red mud particulates (chiefly hematite) in determining the fate of As when red mud leachate is neutralized with HCl.

At the pH of the raw leachate, vanadate is predicted to dominate V aqueous speciation.49–51 Almost no V was removed from solution during HCl neutralization (the reverse of that previously observed with unfiltered leachate).$^{16}$ The V K-edge XANES spectrum collected from this sample has quite poor data quality (Figure 3); nevertheless, the XANES data shows the absorption edge energy ($E_{1/2}$) is similar to those of the V$^{5+}$ containing standards, and above that of most V$^{4+}$ containing compounds.52–54 When this spectrum is interpreted using the system of Charauq et al.$^{55}$ (based on the detailed observation of pre-edge peak intensity and energy position; see SI section 3 for detailed explanation), the HCl precipitate data plot closely to the data from vanadate adsorbed to oxide and hydroxide surfaces,$^{40,55,56}$ including the vanadate-Al(OH)$_3$.

### Table 4. As K-edge EXAFS Fits Where N is the Occupancy (± 25%), R is the Interatomic Distance (± 0.02 Å for the First Shell, ± 0.05 Å for Outer Shells), $2\sigma^2$ is the Debye-Waller Factor (± 25%), and R and Reduced $\chi^2$ are the Least Squares Residual and the Reduced Chi$^2$ Goodness of Fit Parameters, Respectively

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sample</th>
<th>shell</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>R (Å)</th>
<th>$2\sigma^2$ (Å$^2$)</th>
<th>R (%)</th>
<th>reduced $\chi^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M7b</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gypsum</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl: fit with 4 O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl: fit with 4 O and 2 Al (shown on Figure 3)</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>seawater: fit with 4 O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>seawater: fit with 4 O and 2 Al (shown on Figure 3)</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Four As–O bonds have been considered separately to allow for multiple scattering within the AsO$_4$ tetrahedron. N values were held constant during the fitting.*

Figure 4. (A) Background subtracted As K-edge EXAFS spectra collected from the leachate precipitates and the M7b river sediment. (B) Corresponding Fourier transforms calculated from EXAFS spectra. Dashed lines represent DLexcurv V1.0 model fits using the parameters listed in Table 4.
standard produced in this study (SI Figure S4). Therefore, the V K-edge XANES data for the HCl neutralized sample are consistent with vanadate adsorption to the neoformed precipitates.

**Gypsum Neutralization.** During gypsum neutralization aqueous Ca$^{2+}$ concentration will be limited by gypsum solubility ($K_{sp} = 10^{-4.60}$). Ca$^{2+}$ is removed from the leachate by calcite precipitation. At alkaline pH, rapid dissolution of atmospheric CO$_2$ provides CO$_3^{2-}$ to support this reaction. In the gypsum neutralization experiment essentially full conversion of gypsum to calcite was observed. No gypsum is apparent in XRD patterns collected from the solid residue, and there is also no evidence for the presence of the Ca-aluminate phases that have been reported previously. However, it should be noted that Al was almost completely removed from solution and Al oxyhydroxide phases are predicted to be oversaturated in mineral saturation modeling (SI Tables S2 and S3); therefore, neoformed Al-phases must be present and are likely to be amorphous or not detectable by XRD (i.e., less than ~5% w/w).

Sample M7b was taken about 2 months after the Ajka red mud spill on the Marcal River that received large volumes of gypsum in response to the spill. This location became noted for the resulting carbonate hardpans on the stream bed. The XRD pattern collected from M7b was dominated by calcite along with minor amounts of other minerals typical of river sediments. No gypsum (or red-mud-derived phases such as hematite) was detectable in the spectrum. Thus the gypsum amended leachate residue and the M7b river sediment appear to be experimental and field derived products of essentially the same phenomenon, namely gypsum addition to Ajka red mud leachate.

Arsenic was largely removed from solution during gypsum neutralization. Mineral saturation modeling (SI Tables S2 and S3) of the equilibrium leachate composition after the addition of gypsum, indicates that the solution is not oversaturated with respect to Ca-arsenate phases during the initial reaction. Arsenic K-edge EXAFS analysis of spectra collected from both the gypsum precipitate and M7b samples shows that the local As coordination environment is consistent with the tetrahedral arsenate structure. There is no evidence for any contribution to these spectra from additional shells of backscattering atoms at longer distances, such as the As–Ca linkages observed in Ca-arsenates (SI Figure S5). Arsenate in apparently outer-sphere sorption complexes should be partially susceptible to ligand exchange, yet the sorbed As was not remobilized by phosphate addition to either the gypsum precipitate or M7b. EXAFS spectra collected of calcite crystals grown slowly in the presence of arsenate contain strong evidence for As–Ca backscatters due to the direct substitution of arsenate for carbonate in the calcite lattice. Gypsum addition to alkaline red mud leachate, however, induces very rapid carbonate precipitation (possibly via high surface area amorphous intermediates). During rapid precipitation, arsenate is likely to become associated with positively charged carbonate surfaces due to charge compensating surface sorption and may then be protected from further exchange reactions by incorporation into the calcite precipitate in noncrystallographic sites (as observed for chromate–calcite coprecipitates).

V removal from the red mud leachate during gypsum treatment is only slightly greater than during HCl addition. XANES analysis indicates that solid-associated V is likely to be present as vanadate surface adsorption complexes, possibly associated with the calcite precipitate.

**Seawater Neutralization.** Seawater addition to red mud leachate provides aqueous Ca$^{2+}$. This promoted the formation of calcium carbonate (aragonite and calcite) in much the same way as gypsum addition. Mg$^{2+}$ is also present and this promoted the formation of the Al/Mg layered double hydroxide phase hydrotalcite, which accounts for the high degree of Al removal observed. Layered double hydroxides contain an interlayer site that is accessible to many different oxyanions, including carbonate, phosphate, and sulfate; and has been predicted as a host phase for trace elements such as As and V present as soluble oxyanions in red mud leachates.

Arsenic was largely removed from solution during seawater neutralization, and EXAFS analysis revealed that solid-associated As was in a coordination environment similar to that in the HCl precipitate. However the As–Al bond lengths discovered were slightly longer (3.3–3.4 Å) than those in the HCl precipitate and those commonly found when As is associated with aluminum oxide surfaces (3.2 Å). Moreover, a significant amount of the solid-phase As was phosphate exchangeable. Taken together this indicates the As is present in a surface adsorption environment with weaker As–Al bonding than the As-adsorbed HCl precipitate. In turn this may indicate As sorption at the interlayer site of the neoformed hydrotalcite, however, the exact bonding environment for As in hydrotalcite has not been determined.

Similar to HCl and gypsum addition, V is not extensively removed from solution during seawater treatment, which is consistent with previous seawater neutralization studies. The V K-edge XANES spectrum from the seawater neutralized precipitate (Table 3) is within error of the data collected from the calcium metavanadate standard. However, mineral speciation modeling of the seawater/leachate mixture (SI Tables S2 and S3) does not predict oversaturation with respect to Ca-vanadate. Furthermore, much of the solid-associated V was found to be exchangeable with phosphate, which should not occur for Ca-vanadate precipitates. Sorption of vanadate at the interlayer site of neoformed hydrotalcite has been proposed to account for V removal from solution during seawater neutralization of Bayer liquors. Therefore, sorption of vanadate to the hydrotalcite interlayer site may account for the small amounts of V removal observed.

**Implications for Bauxite Residue Management.** All three neutralization methods used are successful in reducing the pH of red mud leachate and removing Al from solution. Depending on the method, Al removal is achieved via the precipitation of various Al oxyhydroxides, hydroxycarbonates, or layered double hydroxides. In these precipitates, Al is not phosphate exchangeable and the Al concentrations in the neutralized leachate are controlled by the solubility of the solid products, which at between pH 5 and 10 is generally low. The effect of neutralization on other trace elements present is more variable. Arsenic can be substantially removed from solution using all three methods, but in the case of HCl addition additional sorption surfaces must be present to achieve high degrees of removal. For HCl and seawater treatments, As sorption is achieved through formation of inner-sphere complexes (most likely associated with neoformed precipitates). However, some As remobilization can be achieved by anion exchange with phosphate. Gypsum addition, however, appears to incorporate As nonexchangeably into neoformed calcite precipitates. This result is consistent with reports on the
use of gypsum to treat rivers following the Ajka red mud spill, where increased concentrations of weak acid extractable As are found in neoformed calcite hardpans.\textsuperscript{14,16} V is not substantially removed from solution by any of the neutralization methods studied. Indeed, persistent V solubility was an intractable problem in waters affected by the Ajka spill,\textsuperscript{14,16} although there is some evidence that the presence of additional sorption sites helps to reduce aqueous V concentration.\textsuperscript{16} A number of other trace elements, B, Cr, Ga, and Mo are also not removed during neutralization (with the exception of Ga during seawater treatment).

In recent years, other methods of red mud neutralization using CO\textsubscript{2} and SO\textsubscript{2} from power station flue gas have been investigated as carbon sequestration/waste minimization methods.\textsuperscript{10,64,65} Several biological methods have also been tested for pH reduction, including direct bacterial action (bicarbonate generation)\textsuperscript{36,67} and placing vegetation cover over red mud stock piles (leaching of plant organic acids into the red mud is thought to reduce pH).\textsuperscript{29,68} These studies have suggested that neutralization methods involving divalent cation addition (e.g., gypsum or seawater addition) are more effective in controlling toxic metal concentrations than acid dosing.\textsuperscript{6,32}

The results of this study show that neutralization of red mud leachate by any method is an important step in reducing the overall burden of alkalinity and soluble toxic elements on the aqueous environment, especially Al and As. Gypsum neutralization can probably be considered the most effective method used in this study, as both As and Al were nonexchangably sorbed, and the precipitated carbonate buffers against further pH changes. The estimated amounts of gypsum required (~1200 t a\textsuperscript{-1}) to treat leachate that is potentially generated at a site such as the Ajka BDRA are also relatively modest (see SI Section S4 for detailed calculations and assumptions).

Extrapolation of the treated aqueous metal and metalloid concentrations from small-scale experiments to bulk water quality and subsequent comparison with aquatic life standards must be done with utmost caution. However, if we assume a 100-fold dilution factor of the treated leachate once discharged into a receiving stream (which is consistent with comparisons of baseflow measurements taken from the upper Torna Creek\textsuperscript{14} with the leachate generation estimates above (SI Section S4)), the Al concentrations found in the gypsum treatment would be in breach of the strictest aquatic life standards\textsuperscript{49} by a factor of 6, and V concentrations by a factor of 2. As such, it would be likely that some additional polishing treatment of the leachate would be required prior to discharge, for example through a deployment of a treatment wetland, which is effective for Al and V removal in the pH range of the neutralized leachate.\textsuperscript{35,70}
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