1 Future changes in African heatwaves and their drivers at the convective scale

- C. E. Birch¹, L. S. Jackson¹, D. L. Finney^{1,2}, J. M. Marsham³, R. A. Stratton⁴, S. Tucker⁴, S.
 Chapman¹, C. A. Senior⁴, R. J. Keane^{1,4}, F. Guichard⁵, E. J. Kendon⁴
- ⁴ ¹School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK
- ⁵ ²Ronin Institute for Independent Scholarship, Montclair, NJ, USA
- 6 ³University of Leeds Met Office Strategic (LUMOS) Research Group, School of Earth and
- 7 Environment, University of Leeds, UK
- 8 ⁴Met Office, Exeter, UK
- 9 ⁵CNRM, Université de Toulouse, Météo-France, CNRS, Toulouse, France
- 10 Corresponding author: Cathryn Birch, c.e.birch@leeds.ac.uk
- 11 For submission to Journal of Climate
- 12 Word count: 8850
- 13 Key words heat stress, heatwave, wet bulb, Africa, convective scale, climate change

14 Abstract

15 The future change in dry and humid heatwaves is assessed in 10 year pan-African convective scale (4.5km) and parameterised convection (25km) climate model simulations. Compared to 16 reanalysis, the convective scale simulation is better able to represent humid heatwaves than 17 the parameterised simulation. Model performance for dry heatwaves is much more similar. 18 19 Both model configurations simulate large increases in the intensity, duration and frequency 20 of heatwaves by 2100 under RCP8.5. Present day conditions that occur on 3 to 6 heatwave days per year will be normal by 2100, occurring on 150-180 days per year. The future change 21 in dry heatwaves is similar in both climate model configurations, whereas the future change 22 23 in humid heatwaves is 56% higher in intensity and 20% higher in frequency in the convective 24 scale model. Dry heatwaves are associated with low rainfall, reduced cloud, increased surface shortwave heating and increased sensible heat flux. In contrast, humid heatwaves are 25 26 predominately controlled by increased humidity, rainfall, cloud, longwave heating and 27 evaporation, with dry bulb temperature gaining more significance in the most humid regions. Approximately one third (32%) of humid heatwaves commence on wet days. Moist processes 28 are known to be better represented in convective scale models. Climate models with 29 parameterised convection, such as those in CMIP, may underestimate the future change in 30 humid heatwaves, which heightens the need for mitigation and adaptation strategies and 31 32 indicates there may be less time available to implement them to avoid future catastrophic 33 heat stress conditions than previously thought.

34 Significance statement

Temperatures are higher in dry heatwaves, but humid heatwaves can be more dangerous, as 35 the ability to cool by sweating is limited. We found that dry heatwaves are caused by 36 decreased cloud, allowing the sun to heat the surface, whereas humid heatwaves are caused 37 38 by increased cloud, rainfall and evaporation from the surface. We found that a state-of-the-39 art very high resolution climate model predicts a larger future change in humid heatwaves compared to a more traditional global climate model. Previous estimates of the prevalence 40 of humid heatwaves in the future may therefore be underestimated. If we do not cut 41 emissions of greenhouse gases, present-day heatwave conditions could be experienced on up 42 to half of all days of the year by 2100. 43

44 **1** Introduction

There is mounting evidence that heatwaves, regardless of definition, have increased in 45 intensity, frequency and duration over Africa over the last three decades (Ceccherini et al. 46 47 2017; Fontaine et al. 2013; Lyon 2009; Moron et al. 2016; Seneviratne et al. 2021). The IPCC Working Group 1 Sixth Assessment Report (Seneviratne et al. 2021) states that at the 48 continental scale, it is "very likely" the intensity and frequency of African hot extremes will 49 50 increase even under 1.5°C global warming, and the changes are "virtually certain" to occur 51 under 4°C global warming (Dosio 2017; Fitzpatrick et al. 2020a; Perkins-Kirkpatrick; Lewis 2020; Russo et al. 2016). Vicedo-Cabrera et al. (2021) attribute more than 40% of heat-related 52 53 mortality in South Africa during the period 1991-2018 to human-induced climate change.

54 Humid heatwaves are a combined measure of both temperature and humidity. Humidity limits the body's ability to sweat and therefore plays a major role in heat stress (i.e. when the 55 56 body's ability to control its internal temperature starts to fail, Kjellstrom et al. (2016)). Equatorial Africa, in particular, is projected to be a global hotspot for heat stress by the end 57 58 of the century (Coffel et al. 2017; Dosio et al. 2018; Mora et al. 2017). The impacts of heat 59 stress in Africa – including deaths - go largely unreported (Harrington; Otto 2020) and the sparse observation network means humid temperature extremes in particular are hard to 60 detect. Despite this, a small number of studies have shown that heatwaves cause harm in 61 Africa (Azongo et al. 2012; Diboulo et al. 2012). 62

63 There is limited literature on the drivers of humid heatwaves anywhere in the world (Raymond et al. 2021) and the vast majority of research on African heatwave drivers is 64 focused on dry-bulb heatwaves in the Sahel region of sub-Saharan Africa. Dry-bulb 65 temperature extremes in the Sahel due to moisture advection and surface longwave heating 66 67 through the water vapour greenhouse gas effect have been highlighted in a number of studies (Fontaine et al. 2013; Guigma et al. 2020; Guigma et al. 2021; Largeron et al. 2020; Oueslati 68 et al. 2017). Bouniol et al. (2021) analysed daily maximum dry-bulb temperature (daytime) 69 70 and daily minimum dry-bulb temperature (nighttime) heatwaves over the Sahel using satellite derived cloud, aerosol, water vapour and radiative fluxes. They found that daytime heatwaves 71 occur during reduced cloud, a lower aerosol load and increase surface shortwave radiation 72 73 flux. Conversely, nighttime heatwaves occur during periods with increased cloud, aerosol,

74 water vapour and a resulting increase in longwave heating than exceeds the decrease in75 shortwave heating.

Even within the discipline of meteorology, there is no universally accepted metric for dry or 76 77 humid heatwaves and different metrics do not necessarily identify the same events (Guigma et al. 2020). A number of recent global studies have focused on metrics that account for both 78 79 temperature and humidity because both are physiologically important for human heat stress (Coffel et al. 2017; Mora et al. 2017; Russo et al. 2017). Globally, 74% of the world's 80 81 population is projected to be exposed to deadly heat stress for at least 20 days per year by 2100 under RCP8.5 (Mora et al. 2017). South Asian wet-bulb temperature is projected to 82 approach, and in a few locations exceed, the critical threshold of 35°C, which is considered 83 the limit of human survivability, by 2100 under high emission scenarios (Im et al. 2017; Pal; 84 85 Eltahir 2016).

86 Projections of future heat extremes are almost ubiquitously provided by relatively coarse resolution regional (Gutowski Jr et al. 2016) or global climate (Eyring et al. 2016; Taylor et al. 87 88 2012) models, which require a parameterisation scheme to represent convective rainfall 89 processes. Such models are known to poorly represent tropical rainfall characteristics, 90 whereas convective-scale climate models are better able to represent intense rainfall and dry spells (Berthou et al. 2019b; Finney et al. 2020; Prein et al. 2015), related processes such as 91 92 storm lifecycles and propagation (Crook et al. 2019; Finney et al. 2020), the atmospheric 93 overturning circulation (Hart et al. 2018; Jackson et al. 2020), the atmospheric water cycle (Birch et al. 2014b; Finney et al. 2019) and soil moisture-precipitation feedbacks (Taylor et al. 94 2013). Additionally, they project larger future increases in rainfall extremes (Berthou et al. 95 2019a; Finney et al. 2020; Kendon et al. 2014; Kendon et al. 2019). Emerging studies suggest 96 that heat extremes over Europe are better represented and the increases under climate 97 98 change are larger in magnitude in convective-scale climate models (Kennedy-Asser et al. 2020; Tölle et al. 2018). It is not currently known if African heat extremes are better 99 100 represented in convective-scale models, although given the crucial role of convection in 101 African weather, the representation of convection is likely to be important. It is, therefore, 102 critical to understand how African heatwave projections from global models are affected by 103 their parameterisation of convection.

104 This study uses 10-year pan-African climate simulations with 4.5km (convective-scale) and 25km (convection-parameterised) horizontal grid-spacing (Senior et al. 2021; Stratton et al. 105 106 2018). We evaluate the present day mean temperature and humidity in the climate models 107 using observations and reanalysis (Section 3.1). We assess the present-day values and future changes (2100, RCP 8.5) in the intensity, duration and frequency of wet and dry bulb 108 109 heatwaves in the climate model simulations (Section 3.2). We demonstrate the contrasting 110 drivers of wet and dry bulb heatwaves over Africa (Section 3.3) and show how the drivers of humid heatwaves differ over different regions of Africa (Section 3.4). We explain why the 111 112 convective-scale climate model simulates a larger number of more intense and longer 113 duration heatwaves under climate change than the climate model with parameterised 114 convection (Section 3.4).

115 2 Data and Methods

116 **2.1. Model simulations**

This study utilises two 10-year regional atmosphere-only climate simulations using the Met 117 Office Unified Model (MetUM) run over a pan-Africa domain of 25°W-57°E, 45°S-40°N 118 (Stratton et al. 2018). Both simulations are driven by the N512 (approximately 25km x 40km 119 in the tropics) global atmosphere-only (GA7) configuration of the MetUM (Walters et al. 120 121 2017). The first regional model (CP4) has a horizontal grid-spacing at the equator of 4.5 × 4.5 122 km (0.04° x 0.04°) and is 'convective-scale', i.e. its horizontal resolution is sufficient to not require a parameterisation for convection and it is switched off. The second regional model 123 (P25) has a horizontal grid-spacing matching the global model and includes parameterised 124 convection (Gregory; Rowntree 1990; Walters et al. 2017). P25 is also based on the GA7 125 configuration, but in an attempt to restrict differences between P25 and CP4 to convection 126 some settings such as soil types and aerosol forcing have been made the same as those in 127 CP4. 128

129 In the historical period, representing the years 1997-2006, all models use Reynolds daily Sea 130 Surface Temperature (SST) observations (Reynolds et al. 2007; Stratton et al. 2018). The 131 future climate simulations use the Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5 for 132 greenhouse gas concentrations for the year 2100 (Moss et al. 2010). In all the future 133 simulations (CP4FUT, P25FUT and the driving GCM) the average SST change between 1975–

2005 and 2085–2115 in a separate CMIP5 HadGEM2-ES RCP8.5 run is added to the historical
SSTs (Kendon et al. 2019).

The CP4 data was interpolated onto the P25 grid and all subsequent analysis is performed on the P25 grid. Regridding the P25 and CP4 data onto a much coarser grid of 2° x 2° made negligible difference to the results (not shown). The full 10 years of simulation data, from January 1997 to December 2006, was used. Dropping the first year to allow for spin-up made negligible difference to all results (not shown).

141 **2.2. Observations and reanalysis**

We diagnose T_{max} heatwaves using near-surface daily maximum temperature (T_{max}) from the Berkeley Earth Surface Temperature gridded dataset (BEST). It uses the statistical Kriging method to interpolate data from weather stations compiled from a number of data archives onto a global regular 1° × 1° grid (Rohde; Hausfather 2020). We evaluate the mean temperature in the climate models using monthly mean near-surface temperature from the Climatic Research Unit (CRU) TS4.03 reference dataset, on a 0.5° x 0.5° grid (Harris et al. 2020).

We diagnose heatwaves using hourly data from the fifth generation of the European 148 149 reanalysis (ERA5) at the native horizontal resolution of 0.25 x 0.25° (~30km) (Hersbach et al. 150 2020). We note that ERA5 is produced by an atmospheric model, itself with parameterised 151 convection. It cannot be considered as 'observations' and the drivers of the heatwaves in ERA5 may suffer from similar biases as the climate models, particularly P25. Previous work 152 153 has compared and evaluated daily maximum and minimum dry bulb temperature in four reanalysis products, including ERA-Interim, the ERA5 predecessor, against the BEST dataset 154 155 and found ERA-Interim performed the best (Barbier et al. 2018). There are limitations in using reanalysis datasets but there are also likely big uncertainties in the BEST and CRU datasets 156 due to the sparsity of surface station observations over Africa. 157

There is no pan-African gridded observational dataset that resolves both the diurnal cycles in near-surface temperature and humidity. Instead, to evaluate the diurnal cycles of dry and wet bulb temperature and humidity in reanalysis and the climate model simulations, we use hourly observations from three weather stations, with multiyear sub-hourly records that include humidity. The first is in Skukuza, South Africa (-25.0°N, 31.5°E), which has data available 2000-2013 (Pastorello et al. 2020), the second is in Demokeya, Kordofan, central

Sudan (13.3°N, 30.5°E), which has data available 2002-2012 (Ardö 2013) and the third is in Banizoumbou, Niamey, Niger (13.5°N, 2.7°E), where data from 2008-2015 was used (Lebel et al. 2009).

We use the daily Integrated Multi-satellitE Retrievals (IMERG) for Global Precipitation Measurement (GPM) satellite retrievals of rainfall (Huffman 2014), available from mid-2000 to near-present. The IMERG data is interpolated onto the ERA5 grid before any analysis is performed.

171 **2.3. Heatwave identification**

Heatwaves are defined using near-surface daily maximum dry (T_{max}) or wet (T_{wbmax}) bulb 172 173 temperature over the pan-Africa region of 22°W-54°E, 42°S-37°N, which includes the Arabian Peninsula, for land points only. This means a 3° band around the edge of the model domains 174 have been removed to allow for the effects of the lateral boundary conditions. There are 175 various quantities that can be used to represent humidity in heat stress, including wet-bulb 176 temperature, wet bulb globe temperature and apparent temperature. Sherwood (2018) 177 shows that although all three of these quantities increase with increasing humidity, wet bulb 178 temperature is the most sensitive to humidity, which makes it a good choice here in order to 179 180 best highlight the differences in the drivers of T_{max} and T_{wbmax} heatwaves.

181 Hourly wet-bulb temperature is computed from hourly specific humidity, dry bulb temperature and pressure using the method of Davies-Jones (2008) and then the daily 182 183 maximum, T_{wbmax}, is found. The results are not sensitive to using hourly dry-bulb temperature to compute T_{max}, rather than the daily dry-bulb maximum temperature output directly from 184 185 the model simulations. For T_{wbmax}, it was necessary to compute the daily maximums from the hourly data because, due to the diurnal cycle of humidity, it is essential to use hourly, rather 186 than daily mean, humidity data to compute T_{wbmax} (see Section 2.4). For consistency, we also 187 188 use hourly data to calculate T_{max}.

Heatwaves were identified as follows (described here for T_{wbmax} heatwaves; a description of
 the differences between how T_{wbmax} and T_{max} heatwaves are diagnosed follows):

191 1. For each gridbox, the 90th percentile of T_{wbmax} over a 31-day running window, 192 $T_{wbmax_{31d90p}}$ (blue line, Figure 1) and the 97th percentile of daily maximum wet bulb

- temperature over all days in the dataset, T_{wbmax_97p} (red solid line, Figure 1) are
 computed.
- Hot days are defined as days where T_{wbmax} (black dots, Figure 1) are above both the
 blue and red solid lines, i.e. unseasonably warm days in the colder months are not
 diagnosed as hot days.
- A heatwave event is defined as 3 or more consecutive hot days. A "heatwave day" is
 defined as each individual day within a heatwave event.

Three key metrics are recorded for each gridbox: the duration of each heatwave, the intensity of each heatwave day (defined below) and the total number of heatwave days that occur over the length of the dataset, i.e. the frequency. The total number of heatwave days is analysed, rather than the number of heatwave events, because the future change in heatwaves in the climate models is so large that most days in the future are diagnosed as heatwaves, so the number of events can decrease whilst the number of heatwave days increases, and so analysing the number of heatwave events (and the heatwave duration) is mis-leading.



Figure 1 Illustration of the heatwave identification method for one arbitrary gridbox in CP4. (b) and (c) are consecutively zoomed in views of (a). The black dots represent daily T_{wbmax} over an example 3 year time slice. The blue line is the 90th percentile of T_{wbmax} over a 31-day running window. The red solid and dashed lines are the 97th and 98.9th percentiles respectively of T_{wbmax} over all days and all years. The dots in (c) show the unit-less heat intensity on each identified hot day.

214

The intensity of each heatwave day is computed using a modified version of Equation 2 in Russo et al. (2015):

217
$$I = \frac{T_{wbmax} - T_{wbmax_97p}}{T_{wbmax_98.9p} - T_{wbmax_97p}}$$

where T_{wbmax_98.9p} is the 98.9th percentile of daily maximum wet bulb temperature over all days in the dataset (red dashed line, Figure 1). *I* is a dimensionless measure of the intensity of each heatwave day relative to the variability of the hottest days that occur in each grid box.

This heatwave methodology was chosen because: (1) it is a percentile-based metric, which allows ERA5 and the climate models to be directly compared regardless of differences in their mean climatology of temperature and humidity, (2) it is computed for each gridbox separately, which allows the widely varying climates in Africa to be directly compared, and (3) it is possible to look at the intensity, duration and frequency of heatwaves as separate metrics.

Heatwaves in CP4FUT and P25FUT are computed twice: once relative to the present-day 227 baseline (i.e T_{wbmax 31d90p}, T_{wbmax 97} and T_{wbmax 98.9p} are taken from the present day simulation 228 229 at each gridbox) and once relative to the future climate baseline (i.e Twbmax 31d90p, Twbmax 97 230 and T_{wbmax 98.9p} are taken from the future climate simulation at each gridbox). Heatwaves computed using the present-day baseline are used throughout the paper, except in Figures 231 13, 15 and 16, where the future change in heatwave drivers is assessed. Future heatwaves 232 are so frequent and long in duration under climate change that identifiable individual 233 234 heatwaves do not exist in the future. Therefore, using the future climate baseline diagnoses a similar number of discrete heatwaves as are diagnosed in the present day. 235

236 Dry-bulb heatwaves are computed in the same way as above, by replacing T_{wbmax} with T_{max} . 237 The only other difference is that the two percentile thresholds (red solid and dashed lines in Figure 1) are set at $T_{max_{98}}$ and $T_{max_{99.9p}}$ for dry-bulb heatwaves, rather than the 97th and 98.9th 238 percentile used for T_{max}. There is no clear choice of percentile in the literature, with different 239 authors choosing to use different values (Guigma et al. 2020; Lyon 2009; Raymond et al. 2021; 240 Russo et al. 2015). The consequence of using different percentiles for T_{max} and T_{wbmax} 241 242 heatwaves is that the values of intensity are not directly comparable. However, it is done to make the number of T_{max} and T_{wbmax} heatwaves diagnosed in the present day similar, to 243 provide consistency for the heatwave driver analysis. 244

For ERA5 we use two periods: (1) 1997-2006 for comparison of the ERA5 heatwave metrics to the present day climate simulations and (2) 2000-2019 when looking at atmospheric heatwave drivers, in order to align with the availability of the GPM rainfall observations. Here,

we also compare with the period 1987-2016 to assess the impact of using a longer timeseries 248 on the heatwave metrics. The mean and standard error of the pan-African heatwave metrics 249 250 in ERA5 over 1997-2006, 1987-2016 and 2001-2019 are intensity: 1.36±0.002, 1.41±0.002, 251 1.50±0.002, duration: 3.93±0.003, 4.01±0.002, 3.97±0.002 days and frequency: 2.5±0.05, 2.7±0.16, 2.5±0.10 days per year respectively. These are negligible differences apart from in 252 intensity, where a small climate change signal of higher intensity in later years is apparent, 253 254 consistent with previous studies (Ceccherini et al. 2017). The relative importance of the different heatwave drivers is not dependent on the period used (not shown). 255

Figure 2 illustrates the resulting T_{wbmax} heatwave diagnosis in P25 and CP4 for an example day. The methodology is able to identify large, spatially coherent heatwaves. 25 June 2001 is more than 4 years into the climate simulation, so the fact that both climate models produce a heatwave of a similar size and location at the same time, suggest that the lateral boundary conditions and SSTs (which are the same in both simulations) have a strong control on this event.



262



267 **2.4.** Daily vs. hourly specific humidity values in *T_{wbmax}* calculation

The mean diurnal cycle of near-surface specific humidity and wet and dry bulb temperature from ERA5, P25 and CP4 are plotted against observations from the three automatic weather stations (Figure 3). Specific humidity has a diurnal cycle that is out of phase with the diurnal cycle in dry-bulb temperature at both locations, which is consistent with dry-air entrainment into the boundary layer during the day and moisture advection at night (Couvreux et al. 2015). This has a strong control on the magnitude and timing of T_{wbmax}, causing a flattening of the diurnal peak of wet bulb temperature (solid lines, Figure 3c,f,i).

275 Due to the lack of availability of sub-daily humidity diagnostics from ensemble model studies such as CMIP5 (Taylor et al. 2012), CMIP6 (Eyring et al. 2016) and CORDEX (Gutowski Jr et al. 276 2016), past studies that diagnose humid heatwaves using the daily maximum wet bulb (or wet 277 bulb globe, WBGT) temperature (e.g. Russo et al. (2017) and Coffel et al. (2017)) necessarily 278 use the daily mean, minimum or maximum humidity to compute daily maximum humid-heat 279 metrics. Using daily mean specific humidity in the calculation of T_{wbmax} produces a smooth 280 281 diurnal cycle in wet-bulb temperature, which follows the shape of the diurnal cycle of dry bulb 282 temperature (dashed lines, Figure 3c,f,i). The impact of the choice of daily or sub-daily specific humidity on the present-day heatwave metrics used in this study is strikingly large and 283 perhaps unpredictable, with no clear trend in the direction of the impact in ERA5, CP4 and 284 285 P25 (Figure S1). The future change in wet-bulb heatwave intensity, duration and frequency are overestimated in both CP4 (by 106, 40 and 16% respectively) and P25 (by 73, 16 and 3% 286 287 respectively) when daily specific humidity is used in the T_{wbmax} calculation (Figure S1). This is particularly important for wet-bulb temperature, which is the most sensitive to humidity out 288 289 of the most frequently used humidity-temperature indices (Sherwood 2018).



290

Figure 3 Mean diurnal cycle of near-surface dry bulb temperature (left), near-surface specific humidity (middle) and wet bulb temperature (right) at the locations of the surface stations in South Africa (top), Sudan (middle) and Niger (bottom). Wet-bulb temperature is computed using both hourly and daily mean q. Using daily mean or hourly surface pressure has negligible impact on the wet-bulb calculation. The locations of the three stations are illustrated in Figure 2.

298 **2.5. Computation of anomalies and climatologies**

Data in Figures 10, 14, 15 and 16 are presented as mean anomalies relative to a locally and temporally relevant climatology. For each heatwave diagnosed in ERA5 and the model simulations, a daily mean timeseries of each variable is extracted for a 31 day period, from day -15 to day +15, where day 0 is the onset of the heatwave. The local (i.e. gridbox specific) daily mean annual cycle (31 values), smoothed using a 50-day running window, is subtracted from each 31-day timeseries to produce anomalies from the mean climatology at the relevant time of year. The bars in Figures 10, 14, 15 and 16 are an average of each anomaly over the first 3 days of all diagnosed heatwaves, so that each heatwave is weighted equally in the analysis. The exception is the humidity and temperature advection (q_{adv}, T_{adv}) in Figures 10 and 14, which are presented as absolute values for ease of interpretation.

309 **2.6. Statistical testing of rainfall distribution**

The Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test is used to assess whether the distribution of 310 311 daily rainfall accumulations on heatwave days is statistically significantly different (p<0.01) to 312 the climatological distribution of rainfall. For each heatwave, taking d-5 to d5 in turn (where the first day of the heatwave is d0), the difference between the rainfall accumulation on dx 313 and the rainfall accumulation on d-15 is computed, where d-15 is far enough away in time 314 from the heatwave event to be sufficiently independent. The Wilcoxon signed rank test is 315 performed 10,000 times on 1000 randomly selected difference-pairs for each day from d-5 to 316 d5. This process is then repeated using daily rainfall accumulation on d+15, to allow for 317 seasonal changes in rainfall between d-15 and d+15, which can be large around the time of 318 319 monsoon onset.

The Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test determines whether two dependent samples were selected from populations having the same distribution i.e. the null hypothesis is that the medians of the heatwave and climatological rainfall are equal. It is an appropriate test because rainfall data does not have a normal distribution, rather there are many more dry and/or low rainfall days than wet days.

325 **3 Results**

326 **3.1 Annual mean temperature and humidity**

An assessment of the mean dry bulb temperature shows that there is a cold bias of 1.24 and 1.39°C in mean near-surface dry bulb temperature in CP4 compared to ERA5 and CRU respectively (Figure 4e,f). P25 is also biased cold, but only by 0.22 and 0.37°C respectively (Figure 4b,c). In both the present day and future climate, CP4 is on average ~1°C cooler than P25 (Figure 4g,h). CP4 and P25 simulate future mean temperature increases by 2100 of 6.3 and 6.4°C respectively (Figure 4a,d), with P25 projecting a smaller increase in Central Africa
 and CP4 predominately projecting a smaller increase elsewhere (Figure 3i).

334 Compared to ERA5, CP4 and P25 have a mean dry bias of 0.88 and 0.50 g/kg in specific 335 humidity respectively, although P25 is wetter by 1-2 g/kg over Central Africa (Figure 5b,d). Both CP4 and P25 project mean future increases in specific humidity of ~3.4 g/kg (Figure 5a,c) 336 but the future change is ~1.5 g/kg larger in P25 over Central Africa and ~0.5 g/kg larger in CP4 337 338 elsewhere (Figure 5g). The resulting impact on mean wet-bulb temperature is a cold bias 339 relative to ERA5 of 1.1 and 0.5°C in CP4 and P25 respectively (Figure 6b,d), although the humidity bias in P25 in Central Africa produces a warm bias in wet-bulb temperature of 0.5-340 1°C. P25 is on average 0.6-0.7 °C warmer than CP4 in wet-bulb temperature in both the 341 342 present day and future, with a large region of central Africa being up to 2 °C warmer (Figure 343 6e,f). The future change in mean wet-bulb temperature is ~4.4°C in both CP4 and P25 (Figure 6a,c), with spatially variable differences in the future change between P25 and CP4 that are 344 345 fairly small due to the compensating effects of humidity and temperature biases in the calculation of wet bulb temperature (Figure 6g). The cold and dry biases are consistent with 346 those in other climate models (Fischer; Knutti 2013; Zhao et al. 2015). 347



Figure 4 Mean near-surface dry-bulb temperature. (a,d) mean future changes, (b,e) difference between present-day model simulations and ERA5, (c,f) difference between present-day model simulations and CRU, (g,h,i) differences between P25 and CP4 in the present-day, future and the future change.



Figure 5 Mean near-surface specific humidity. (a,d) mean future changes, (b,d) difference between present-day model simulations and ERA5, (e,f,g) differences between P25 and CP4 in the present-day, future and the future change.



Figure 6 Mean near-surface wet bulb temperature. (a,d) mean future changes, (b,d) difference between present-day model simulations and ERA5, (e,f,g) differences between P25 and CP4 in the present-day, future and the future change.

361 **3.2 Wet and dry bulb heatwave metrics**

362 Summaries of present day and the future change in intensity, duration and frequency of T_{wbmax} and T_{max} heatwaves in ERA5, P25 and CP4 (and BEST for T_{max}) are shown in Figure 7. For T_{max} 363 364 intensity, there is relatively good agreement between ERA5, BEST and both climate models (Figure 7g). For Twbmax intensity, both CP4 and P25 are skewed towards intensities <1, 365 366 although CP4 much less so and is, therefore, in much better agreement with ERA5 (Figure 7a). 367 There is good agreement between P25, CP4 and ERA5 (and BEST for T_{max} heatwaves) for both 368 T_{wbmax} and T_{max} heatwave duration, with present day mean heatwave lengths of ~4 days (Figure 7b,h). For frequency, there is reasonable agreement between the climate models and 369 370 observations for T_{max} heatwaves, with on average 2.4-3.0 days/yr (Figure 7i). For wet bulb heatwaves, the distribution of heatwave frequency in P25 is skewed towards lower values, 371 with a mean in P25 of 1.8 days/yr compared with values of 2.7 days/yr and 2.5 days/yr in CP4 372 and ERA5 respectively (Figure 7c). 373

By 2100, under RCP8.5 both CP4 and P25 simulate large increases in all three metrics for both 374 375 T_{wbmax} and T_{max} heatwaves. Considering both types of heatwaves and both model simulations, 376 the ranges of future increases are +1.5 to +3.7 for intensity, +9 to +21 days for length, and +145 to +178 days/yr for frequency (Figure 7d-f and j-l). The frequency increase can be 377 interpreted as, on average over all of Africa, up to half of all days of the year will experience 378 379 heat and/or humidity conditions that currently only occur on the annual hottest/most humid 2 to 3 days. With such large increases, the future heatwave duration metric becomes 380 381 inappropriate and the focus should be on the total number of heatwave days per year (i.e. the frequency) and the intensity. 382

For T_{wbmax} heatwaves, the future change in intensity is 56% higher in CP4 than in P25 (Figure 383 384 7d) and the future change in frequency is 20% higher in CP4 than P25 (Figure 7f). For T_{max} heatwaves the future change in intensity is only 10% higher in CP4 than P25 (Figure 7j) and 385 386 the future change in frequency is actually 5% higher in P25 than CP4 (Figure 7I). The model differences and future changes are broadly similar in all six of the sub regions illustrated in 387 Figure 2 (not shown). Clearly there is much more disagreement between CP4 and P25 in the 388 future change of T_{wbmax} heatwaves than T_{max} heatwaves. Processes such as moisture 389 390 transport, cloud, evaporation and rainfall are potentially key drivers of humid heatwaves, and 391 it is known from previous work that moist processes are generally better represented in convective-scale models than models with parameterised convection (Finney et al. 2020;
Finney et al. 2019; Jackson et al. 2020; Kendon et al. 2019). The following section diagnoses
and compares the drivers of T_{wbmax} and T_{max} heatwaves over the African continent.



396 Figure 7 Present day and future change in pan-African heatwave metrics in ERA5, P25, CP4 (for both T_{wbmax} and T_{max} heatwaves) and BEST (for T_{max} heatwaves only). (a-c) present day 397 Twbmax heatwaves, (d-f) future change in Twbmax heatwaves, (g-i) present day Tmax heatwaves, 398 399 (j-l) future change in T_{max} heatwaves. All data is for the period 1997-2006. The numbers in the legends represent the mean and standard error of each distribution. The data in the 400 intensity and duration distributions only includes times and locations where heatwaves were 401 diagnosed and is presented as a frequency of occurrence (i.e. the distribution is divided by 402 the total number of heatwaves diagnosed). The frequency distribution is a count of the 403 404 number of model grid boxes in each frequency bin.

405

406 **3.3 Drivers of present day wet and dry bulb heatwaves**

Figure 8 shows the mean annual cycle of ERA5 near-surface humidity, dry and wet bulb 407 408 temperature and GPM rainfall for the 6 sub-regions illustrated in Figure 2. T_{max} heatwaves 409 occur most frequently in the months with the highest mean dry bulb temperature, generally before the onset of the rainy season. For example, T_{max} heatwaves occur most frequently in 410 the Gulf of Guinea (GoG) in Feb-Apr before the onset of the monsoon season in May/June. In 411 contrast, Twbmax heatwaves occur most frequently at least a month later, when temperatures 412 are still hot but the humidity is beginning to increase. The difference in the timing of T_{wbmax} 413 and T_{max} heatwaves is largest in the Sahel, where T_{max} heatwaves occur in March-May, 414 415 consistent with previous studies (Barbier et al. 2018; Guigma et al. 2020; Largeron et al. 2020), 416 but Twbmax heatwaves occur most frequently in July-Sept, when the mean dry bulb temperature is lower but the mean wet bulb temperature and rainfall is highest. 417





Figure 8 Mean present day annual cycles over the African sub-regions, as defined in Figure
2a. (a) dry-bulb temperature, (b) wet-bulb temperature, (c), specific humidity from ERA5 and
(d) rainfall from GPM. The dots and crosses represent the three months of the year in each
region with the highest occurrence of present day T_{wbmax} and T_{max} heatwaves respectively.

It is important to understand if rainfall is a driver of and/or a response to humid heatwaves because it is known that models with parameterised convection struggle to represent rainfall frequency and intensity (Fiedler et al. 2020) and because there may be a growing risk of compound heat-flood hazards under climate change (Liao et al. 2021; You; Wang 2021). Figure 9 shows composites of the anomaly of wet day occurrence 5 days before (d-5) to 5 days after (d5) the onset of T_{wbmax} and T_{max} heatwaves, relative to the weighted climatology of wet day occurrence (see Section 2.5). Wet days are defined as daily rainfall accumulations of >1 mm. Table 1 shows the percentage of T_{wbmax} and T_{max} heatwaves where the first day of the heatwave (day 0) is defined as a wet day, compared to the occurrence of wet days in the weighted climatology.



435



Figure 9 Composite of wet day (>1 mm/day) occurrence during heatwaves minus wet day occurrence in the weighted climatology for (a) T_{wbmax} and (b) T_{max} heatwaves over the pan-African region. Day 0 is the first day of each heatwave. The climatological values take into account the fact that heatwaves do not occur uniformly in time or space. The climatology is computed by finding a mean of the frequency of occurrence of wet days in each rainfall category 15 days before and after each heatwave (d-15 and d15). For ERA5 and the four

climate model simulations, when considering the full datasets, the heatwave rainfall distributions on days -5 to 5 are all statistically significantly different to climatology (Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test, p<<0.01, see Section 2f), which shows that the rainfall distributions do not come from the same population. The days marked with a dot are when >90% of the Wilcoxon tests performed 10,000 times on 1000 randomly selected differencepairs have a p value of p<0.001.

	T _{wbmax} (%)		T _{max} (%)	
	1 st day of heatwave	Climatology	1 st day of heatwave	Climatology
ERA5-GPM	32.3	22.9	2.5	11.1
CP4	35.0	16.1	0.8	8.8
CP4FUT	40.3	14.1	0.9	9.3
P25	56.5	34.8	3.0	21.2
P25FUT	58.9	34.1	2.7	23.8

Table 1 Percentage of T_{wbmax} and T_{max} heatwaves associated with wet days (defined as daily 449 rainfall accumulations of >1 mm). Data is presented for the 1st day of each heatwave and a 450 climatological value for comparison, which is weighted for the months and locations in which 451 452 the heatwaves occur: it is a mean of the rainfall 15 days prior to and 15 days after the 1st day of each heatwave. For all heatwave-climatology rainfall pairs the Wilcoxon matched-pairs 453 signed rank test shows that the rainfall distributions do not come from the same population 454 455 (p<<0.01, see Section 2.6). An expanded version of this table, showing, for each model, the 456 distribution of rainfall daily accumulations on the 1st day of each heatwave and the difference between the rainfall climatologies 15 days prior to and after the 1st day of each heatwave is 457 presented in Table S1. 458

459

460 ERA5-GPM and all four climate model simulations show a positive anomaly in wet days between d-5 and d+5 after the commencement of T_{wbmax} heatwaves (Figure 9a). For all 461 heatwave-climatology rainfall pairs in Table 1 and Figure 9, the Wilcoxon matched-pairs 462 signed rank test shows that the rainfall distributions do not come from the same population 463 (p<<0.01, see Section 2.6), so the differences in rainfall on heatwave days and in the 464 climatology are statistically significant. The dots on Figure 9 show where the anomalies are of 465 the highest statistical significance (see Figure 9 caption). The wet day anomaly in ERA5-GPM 466 467 peaks between d0 and d3 of the heatwaves, whereas the peak in the climate models occurs the day before the onset of the heatwave (d-1). 468

469 It is not clear why there is a difference in the timing of the peak between ERA5-GPM and the 470 models, which means in a pan-African sense at least, it is not clear whether rainfall drives 471 T_{wbmax} heatwaves through evaporation, or the rainfall is a consequence of the increased 472 humidity. However, evaporation from rain that falls during a T_{wbmax} heatwave is likely to help 473 prolong it by maintaining higher levels of near-surface humidity. Splitting the plot into the six 474 sub regions provides more insight (see Section 3.4.2).

475 In ERA5-GPM, T_{wbmax} heatwaves commence on wet days 32.3% of the time compared to an 476 occurrence of wet days 22.9% of the time in climatology i.e wet days occur almost 10% more 477 often on the first day of a T_{wbmax} heatwave than they occur climatologically (Table 1). The 478 pattern in the present day climate models is similar but more extreme, with Twbmax heatwaves 479 commencing on wet days 56.5% of the time in P25 (compared to wet days occurring 34.8% of 480 the time in climatology) and on wet days 35.0% of the time in CP4 (compared to wet days occurring 16.1% of the time in climatology). For both models, T_{wbmax} heatwaves commence 481 482 about 20% more frequently on wet days than wet days occur in the climatology. The differences in the absolute percentages are due to the known differences in the distribution 483 of rainfall intensity in the convective-scale and parameterised CP4 and P25 models, where 484 parameterised models are known to have more frequent but lower rainfall rates compared 485 486 to observations (see Table S1 for more detail and (Kendon et al. 2021; Kendon et al. 2014; 487 Prein et al. 2015)). Under climate change, the timing of the peak in wet anomaly stays the 488 same (Figure 9a) but a larger percentage of T_{wbmax} heatwaves commence on wet days (change from 35.0 to 40.3% in CP4 and 56.5 to 58.9% in P25), even though wet days occur 489 approximately the same amount or even slightly less frequently in the climatology of the 490 491 future simulations (Table 1).

492 The same relationship between present day heatwaves and rainfall is not, however, apparent 493 for T_{max} heatwaves. More than 5 days prior to heatwave onset there is a negative anomaly in wet day occurrence compared to climatology, which peaks at the onset of the heatwave 494 495 (Figure 9b). By d5 (i.e. after most heatwaves have finished), the wet day occurrence has 496 returned to the climatological value. In ERA5, 2.5% of T_{max} heatwaves commence on wet days, 497 compared to wet days occurring on 11.1% of days climatologically (Table 1). Both CP4 and 498 P25 are in broad agreement with ERA5, in that 0.8% and 3.0% of T_{max} heatwaves respectively 499 commence on wet days, compared to wet days occurring 8.8 and 21.2% of the time

respectively in the climatology. There is only a very small change in these percentages withclimate change.

502 We now compare the drivers of T_{wbmax} and T_{max} heatwaves in ERA5. Figure 10 shows the mean 503 anomalies of several key variables averaged over the first 3 days of each Twbmax and Tmax 504 heatwave diagnosed in ERA5, relative to a weighted climatology (see Section 2.5). For T_{wbmax} heatwaves, the anomaly in daily mean dry bulb temperature is relatively small (+0.32°C) and 505 506 there is a large (+3.2 g/kg) anomaly in daily mean specific humidity (blue bars, Figure 10a,b). The anomaly in top of atmosphere Outgoing Longwave Radiation (OLR) is -12 Wm⁻², which 507 508 indicates increased cloud occurs during T_{wbmax} heatwaves compared to climatology. Increased cloud and moisture leads to a decrease in the surface net shortwave radiation flux, SW_{net}, of 509 5 Wm⁻² and an increase in the surface net longwave radiation flux, LW_{net}, of 15 Wm⁻². The 510 sensible heat flux, H, decreases by 8 Wm⁻² but the latent heat flux, E, increases by 18 Wm⁻². 511 512 The sum of the anomalies of the radiative terms approximately balance the sum of anomalies in the turbulent fluxes, both with a net anomaly of +10 Wm⁻² (right hand blue bars, Figure 513 10d). Humidity advection is positive (moistening) but small, at +0.025 gkg⁻¹ day⁻¹ (Figure 10e) 514 compared to the anomaly in mean humidity of +3.2 g/kg. Temperature advection is negative 515 (cooling) and also small, at -0.022 °C day⁻¹ (Figure 10f), compared to the anomaly in mean 516 517 temperature of 0.32 °C of the opposite sign.



Figure 10 Anomalies relative to climatology of key ERA5 variables over the pan-Africa region 519 during Twbmax and Tmax heatwaves diagnosed in ERA5. (a) near-surface daily mean dry bulb 520 temperature, (b) near-surface daily mean specific humidity, (c) outgoing longwave radiation, 521 (d) surface net shortwave radiation, longwave radiation, sensible heat flux, latent heat flux 522 523 and the sum of the radiative and turbulent terms, (e) 850 hPa moisture advection, (f) 850 hPa 524 temperature advection. All variables are averaged over the first 3 days of each heatwave. All 525 variables are presented as anomalies from the climatological annual cycle (see Section 2e), apart from q_{adv} and T_{qdv} , which for ease of interpretation, are the absolute values. The data 526 for ERA5 are for heatwaves during the period 2001-2019 to align with the availability of GPM 527 rainfall data plotted in Figure 9. The error bars represent the standard error. 528

Conversely, T_{max} heatwaves occur during much larger daily mean dry bulb temperature 530 anomalies of +3.2°C and dry specific humidity anomalies of -1.4 g/kg, compared to 531 532 climatology. The OLR anomaly is +13 Wm⁻², indicating lower, warmer cloud or a lower cloud fraction compared to climatology. SW_{net} increases by 13 Wm⁻² and LW_{net} decreases by a 533 similar amount. H increases by 3.5 Wm⁻² and E decreases by 8.6 Wm⁻². Humidity advection is 534 negative (drying) but small, at -0.063 gkg⁻¹ day⁻¹ (Figure 10e), compared to the anomaly in 535 mean humidity of -1.4 gkg⁻¹ (Figure 10b). Temperature advection is positive (warming) but 536 537 small, at +0.01 °C day⁻¹ (Figure 10f), compared to the positive anomaly in mean temperature of 3.2 °C (Figure 10a). 538

539 In summary, in a pan-African sense, the main driver of T_{wbmax} heatwaves is increased 540 atmospheric moisture, cloud, rainfall and low Bowen ratio (high surface latent heat flux), 541 leading to higher humidity and increased absorption of longwave radiation within the atmospheric column i.e. the water vapour and cloud greenhouse effect. In contrast, T_{max} 542 543 heatwaves are driven by decreased cloud cover, increased surface SW_{net} and a high Bowen ratio (high surface sensible heat flux). These results are based on reanalysis, which is itself a 544 model with parameterised convection. It is likely reanalysis is a better representation of dry-545 bulb heatwaves because they occur at times without cloud and rainfall because moist 546 processes are more challenging to represent in models, especially those with parameterised 547 548 convection (Fiedler et al. 2020).

Given the key role of moist processes in T_{wbmax} heatwaves, their importance for human health (Armstrong et al. 2019), the fact that the model difference between P25 and CP4 in the future change in T_{wbmax} heatwaves is much larger than for T_{max} heatwaves (Figure 7), and the known differences in the representation of moist processes in convective-scale and convectionparameterised models, the remainder of the paper will focus on T_{wbmax} heatwaves. It will examine the heatwave metrics and drivers on a regional basis and the reasons why the convective-scale climate model projects a larger future change in T_{wbmax} heatwaves.

556 **3.4 Regional analysis of T**wbmax heatwaves

557 3.4.1 Spatial variability of T_{wbmax} heatwaves

Figure 11 shows maps of the present day T_{wbmax} heatwave metrics in ERA5, P25 and CP4. The 558 white speckling in the intensity and duration plots illustrates regions where no heatwaves are 559 560 diagnosed in the entire 10 year period. A diagnosis of no heatwaves is possible at locations where there are no occurrences of 3 consecutive hot days. No present day heatwaves were 561 diagnosed in 0.4% of ERA5 gridboxes, 4.4% of P25 gridboxes and 1.0% of CP4 gridboxes. 562 Intensity is fairly uniform to the south of the equator in all three datasets (Figure 11a-c). ERA5 563 564 produces intensity hotspots in the Sahel and Sahara, whereas there are many gridboxes in P25 over the Sahel where no heatwaves are diagnosed over the 10 year period. CP4 produces 565 a spatial distribution of intensity closer to that in ERA5, with an intensity hotspot between 10 566 and 30°N, although it is not as intense as in ERA5. Hotspots of mean heatwave duration of 5 567 or more days and frequency of 4 or more heatwave days per year are apparent in ERA5 across 568 the Sahel and Sahara, in East Africa and along the southern African west coast (Figure 11d,h). 569 570 The spatial distribution in CP4 is again closer to the distribution in ERA5 than P25. The hot 571 spot between 10 and 30°N in ERA5 is not apparent in P25, rather it is a region of short duration 572 or no heatwaves (Figure 11e,f,I,j).



573

Figure 11 Present day T_{wbmax} heatwave metrics. (a-c) intensity, (d-f) duration and (h-j) frequency for ERA5 (left), P25 (middle) and CP4 (right). The boxes in (a) show the analysed sub-regions. The white speckled pixels are regions where no heatwaves in 10 years were diagnosed.

Maps of the future change in the three heatwave metrics in P25 and CP4, and the difference 579 in the future change (P25 future change minus CP4 future change) are shown in Figure 12. In 580 both climate models there are hot spots in the future change of intensity along the equatorial 581 belt between 20°S and 5°N, with particularly high values along the GoG coast (Figure 12a,b). 582 The highest values, of +3 or more, extend across most of sub-Saharan North Africa in CP4. 583 Overall, the future change in intensity is larger almost everywhere in CP4 than P25 (mean 584 changes of +3.67 in CP4 compared with +2.35 in P25, Figure 7d), with the largest differences 585 between the two model simulations 5-15°N and 10-20°S. There are some small patches near 586 the equator where the change in P25 is greater than in CP4, which are consistent with the 587 fact that P25 has a greater increase in total column water vapour nearer the equator, linked 588

to model differences in the changing Hadley circulation (Jackson et al. (2020) and Figure 5gshowing specific humidity).

There is a clear hotspot in the future change in frequency and duration over equatorial Africa 591 592 (10°S to 10°N) in both P25 and CP4, which tends to be larger in the more humid West African coast and Central Africa/Congo, rather than the drier East African region. The future change 593 594 in duration outside this region is up to approximately +20 days, however, within the equatorial belt both models simulate values of greater than +80 days (Figure 12d,e). The 595 596 future change in duration in CP4 is larger overall compared to that in P25 (+21 and +15 days respectively, Figure 7e). The change is larger north of ~7°N in CP4, whereas the change is 597 598 larger in P25 over GoG and parts of Central Africa. The measure of duration does, however, 599 break down under climate change as the number of heatwave days per year increases so 600 much therefore, it is better to focus on the intensity and frequency metrics.

There is good agreement between CP4 and P25 in the spatial distribution of the future change in frequency, with both models simulating future increases of more than +200 days/yr in the equatorial belt, with largest changes in humid regions, and values of more than +100 days/yr elsewhere (Figure 12h,i). CP4 simulates larger future changes in frequency than P25 (means of +178 days/yr compared to +148 days/yr, Figure 7f) everywhere apart from a small region in Central Africa (Figure 12j), again consistent with the greater total column water vapour increase seen in P25 in this region (Jackson et al. 2020).

608 In summary, the simulations show that by 2100 under RCP8.5, conditions experienced on the present-day wet-bulb heatwave days will be experienced consistently throughout the 609 610 hottest/wettest three months of the year and over the equatorial belt, these conditions will be experienced up to 50% of the time. The hot spot in the future change in T_{wbmax} heatwave 611 612 frequency over equatorial Africa is consistent with previous global studies (Coffel et al. 2017; Mora et al. 2017) and is co-located with the area of largest future change in mean humidity, 613 where humidity increases by more than 2 gkg^{-1} (compared to pan-African increases of <+0.5 614 615 gkg⁻¹, Figure 5a,c).



Figure 12 Future change in T_{wbmax} heatwave metrics. (a-c) intensity, (d-f) duration and (h-j) frequency for P25 (left), CP4 (middle) and the difference in the future change (left minus middle, right). The boxes in (f) and (j) show the analysed sub-regions.

620

621 3.4.2 Twbmax heatwaves and rainfall

Figure 13 shows composites of wet day (>1 mm/day) occurrence relative to climatology 622 623 around the time of the onset of T_{wbmax} heatwaves. There are larger, more statistically significant wet day anomalies over the relatively arid regions of the Sahara, Sahel, EAfrica and 624 625 SAfrica. In the Sahara the peak in wet day anomaly coincides with the first day of the T_{wbmax} heatwave in ERA5-GPM and the four climate model simulations. ERA5-GPM wet days occur 626 23.6% of the time on the first day of a T_{wbmax} heatwave, compared to wet days occurring 4.3% 627 of the time in the weighted climatology (the day 0 percentages for all models and regions are 628 shown in Table S2). In the Sahel, EAfrica and SAfrica the picture is less clear with wet day 629 630 anomalies peaking between d-1 and d+1 in ERA5 and the various models. One must 631 remember here that ERA5-GPM cannot be considered as 'observations' or 'truth' in this

context because ERA5 itself is a parameterised convection model and there may not be full
 consistency between T_{wbmax} heatwaves diagnosed in ERA5 and the rainfall from GPM.

GoG and CAfrica have different behaviour, with smaller positive wet day anomalies in the four
climate model simulations and negative anomalies in ERA5-GPM between d-1 and d+1. GoG
and CAfrica can be considered as moist equatorial regions, with a lower amplitude annual
cycle of humidity and wet bulb temperature and higher mean annual rainfall (Figure 8b-d).
Although EAfrica is also within the equatorial belt, it is generally more arid than GoG and
CAfrica, with a low amplitude mean annual cycle of humidity but more moderate rainfall.

640 Apart from in the Sahara, the percentage of T_{wbmax} heatwaves associated with wet days decreases or stays almost the same under climate change in all regions and both climate 641 models (Figure 13 and Table S2). Climatologically, the number of wet days decreases in both 642 643 P25 and CP4 (Table S2). The exceptions are in the Sahara, where wet days increase in both 644 P25 and CP4 and in EAfrica, where they increase in P25. A broad decrease in wet days is consistent with Kendon et al. (2019), who report future increases in dry spell length in the 645 same set of climate model simulations. Since the percentage of climatological wet days and 646 the percentage of heatwaves that occur on wet days both decrease, the overall impact on 647 T_{wbmax} heatwave drivers is minimal. This suggests that the same combination of drivers cause 648 wet-bulb heatwaves in both the present day and under future climate change, and the future 649 650 increases in wet-bulb heatwaves are driven by larger anomalies in these drivers.





Figure 13 Composites of wet day (>1 mm/day) occurrence during heatwaves minus wet day occurrence in the weighted climatology for T_{wbmax} heatwaves only and for each of the 6 sub regions. Day 0 is the first day of each heatwave. The dots represent the days with the largest differences between the heatwave and climatological rainfall distributions (see Figure 9 caption for more details). The rainfall climatologies are computed in the same way as in Figure 9.

660 3.4.3 Drivers of T_{wbmax} heatwaves

Figure 14 shows the anomalies of key variables averaged over the first 3 days of each Twbmax 661 heatwave, separately for each sub region and for ERA5 only. Unlike the equivalent plot for 662 T_{max} heatwaves (Figure S2), there are large regional differences in the sign of the anomalies in 663 the T_{wbmax} heatwave plot. The Sahel experiences negative dry bulb temperature anomalies 664 (i.e. cooler than climatology), whereas the equatorial regions of GoG and CAfrica experience 665 positive dry bulb temperature anomalies during T_{wbmax} heatwaves (Figure 14a). Dry bulb 666 667 temperature anomalies in the other regions are small. There are positive anomalies in specific 668 humidity in all six regions, however, the magnitude is smaller over the already humid GoG and CAfrica. Overall, Twbmax heatwaves are driven by higher values of both dry bulb 669 temperature and humidity in the most humid regions of GoG and CAfrica, but are primarily 670 driven by higher values of humidity elsewhere. 671

Equatorial GoG and CAfrica also behave differently to the other regions in terms of the 672 anomalies in OLR and the surface energy budget terms (Figure 14c). GoG and CAfrica 673 experience small positive anomalies in OLR (i.e. decreased cloud), which leads to positive 674 anomalies in SW_{net} , near-zero anomalies in LW_{net} , near zero anomalies in H and a small 675 676 positive anomaly in E. Conversely, the other regions experience large negative anomalies in OLR (i.e. increased cloud), which drives negative SW_{net} anomalies, positive LW_{net} anomalies, 677 negative H anomalies and large positive E anomalies. Moisture and temperature advection 678 679 (shown as absolute values, rather than anomalies for ease of interpretation in Figure 14e,f) are small in all five regions. 680

In summary, the key T_{wbmax} heatwave drivers in the Sahara, Sahel, EAfrica and SAfrica are broadly the same as the pan-African mean in Figure 10, where high humidity, increased cloud, increased rainfall, latent heat flux and longwave warming in the atmospheric column are important. In the equatorial regions of GoG and CAfrica, where humidity is climatologically the highest, T_{wbmax} heatwaves are driven by a mixture of high temperature and humidity, with decreased cloud and increased shortwave warming.



688 Figure 14 Anomalies relative to climatology of key ERA5 variables by sub-region during present day ERA5 T_{wbmax} heatwaves. (a) near-surface daily mean dry bulb temperature, (b) 689 near-surface daily mean specific humidity, (c) outgoing longwave radiation, (d) surface net 690 shortwave radiation, longwave radiation, sensible heat flux, latent heat flux and the sum of 691 the radiative and turbulent terms, (e) 850 hPa moisture advection, (f) 850 hPa temperature 692 advection. All variables are averaged over the first 3 days of each heatwave. All variables are 693 presented as anomalies from the climatological annual cycle (see Section 2.5), apart from q_{adv} 694 and $T_{\alpha dv}$, which for ease of interpretation, are the absolute values. The data for ERA5 are for 695 heatwaves during the period 2001-2019 to align with the availability of the GPM rainfall data 696 plotted in Figure 13. The error bars represent the standard error. 697

698 **3.4.4 Future change in heatwave drivers**

699 Now we examine the future change in T_{wbmax} heatwave drivers in P25 and CP4 in order to understand why CP4 simulates a larger future change in T_{wbmax} heatwaves over almost all 700 701 parts of Africa. We examine two regions: the Sahel, a region outside of the equatorial belt, 702 where CP4 simulates a much larger future change in heatwave intensity and frequency than 703 P25 (Figure 15) and CAfrica, a region within the equatorial belt (i.e. within the future change 704 'hotspot'), where the simulated future change in P25 and CP4 is more similar (Figure 16). Plots 705 of the other regions are shown in Figures S3-5, where GoG behaves broadly like CAfrica and the Sahara, SAfrica and EAfrica behave broadly like the Sahel. In Figures 15 and 16, the future 706 707 T_{wbmax} heatwaves are diagnosed using a future climate (rather than present day) baseline (see Section 2.3) and the anomalies are relative to present day climatology. 708

709 In the Sahel, the dry bulb temperature during T_{wbmax} heatwaves relative to present day climatology increases by 6.3°C and 5.0°C in P25 and CP4 respectively under climate change 710 (Figure 15a). The specific humidity anomaly also increases in both models but to a larger 711 712 extent in CP4 (9.3 g/kg in P25 compared to 12.6 g/kg in CP4. Figure 15b). Cloud during T_{wbmax} 713 heatwaves decreases slightly in the future in both P25 and CP4, i.e. the heatwaves occur over slightly less cloudy skies (Figure 15c). The main difference between P25 and CP4 is the 714 increase in LW_{net} warming and the increase in latent heat flux (Figure 15d). The future increase 715 in LW_{net} warming is 9.2 Wm⁻² in P25 and 21.2 Wm⁻² in CP4 and the future increase in latent 716 heat flux is 6.1 Wm⁻² in P25 and 28.8 Wm⁻² in CP4, both of these increases are much larger in 717 718 CP4 than P25. For the present day anomalies, P25 is generally in better agreement with ERA5 than CP4. However, given ERA5 is also produced from a model with parameterised 719 720 convection, in a region with limited in-situ observations, it is not possible to determine 721 whether P25 or CP4 is a better representation of reality.

In CAfrica, the future increases in the dry bulb temperature and specific humidity anomalies are similar in P25 and CP4 and are of the order +5-6°C and +7-8 g/kg respectively (Figure 16a,b). Cloud cover becomes even less prevalent during T_{wbmax} heatwaves in the future, i.e. the heatwaves occur over clearer skies, and this change is larger in P25 (+10.9 Wm⁻²) than CP4 (+5.68 Wm⁻²). The P25-CP4 difference in the future change in the anomalies in Figure 16d is much smaller in CAfrica than the Sahel. For example the future change in LW_{net} anomaly is +9.2 Wm⁻² and +10.4 Wm⁻² for P25 and CP4 respectively in CAfrica, compared to +9.1 Wm⁻² and +21.1 Wm⁻² in the Sahel. This is also the case for the latent heat flux, where the values in
 CAfrica are +8.1 and +8.2 Wm⁻² for P25 and CP4 respectively, compared to +6.1 and +28.8



732

Wm⁻² in the Sahel.

Figure 15 Mean anomalies of key variables averaged over the first 3 days of each T_{wbmax} 733 heatwave over the Sahel, relative to a representative mean annual cycle (see Section 2.5) for 734 ERA5 and the four climate model simulations. The bars for P25FUT and CP4FUT represent 735 heatwaves diagnosed using the future climate baseline (see Section 2.3) and are anomalies 736 from the present day annual cycle. The numbers under the P25 and CP4 present day/future 737 climate bar pairs show the future change in the anomaly of each variable. For example, in (a) 738 the future change in the dry bulb temperature anomaly is +6.3°C in P25 and +5.03°C in CP4. 739 The error bars represent the standard error. 740



742 Figure 16 Same as Figure 15 but for CAfrica.

743

744 **4** Discussion and conclusions

The present day and future wet and dry bulb heatwaves are assessed in 10 year convectivescale (CP4, 4.5km) and parameterised convection (P25, 25km) simulations over the entire African continent. Compared to reanalysis, CP4 better reproduces the intensity and frequency of wet bulb heatwaves (and their spatial distribution) than P25. For dry bulb heatwaves, the difference between the two climate model simulations is much smaller, with both in good agreement with reanalysis and observations. Both CP4 and P25 show large increases in the intensity, duration and frequency of dry (T_{max}) and wet bulb (T_{wbmax}) heatwaves by 2100 under RCP8.5. Present day conditions that occur on 3 to 6 heatwave days per year are expected to be normal by 2100, occurring on 150-180 days per year. Huge future increases such as this are consistent with previous global and regional studies (Coffel et al. 2017; Mora et al. 2017; Russo et al. 2017).

756 P25 and CP4 simulate similar future changes in T_{max} heatwaves, however, the future change in T_{wbmax} heatwaves is larger in CP4 than P25: future changes in intensity are +3.67 compared 757 758 to +2.35 and future changes in frequency are +178 days/yr compared to +148 days/yr. The difference in the future change between the two model simulations occurs despite very 759 760 similar future increases in the mean specific humidity and dry bulb temperature. Both CP4 761 and P25 have a cold and dry bias in their mean climate, which is common for climate models 762 in the tropics (Zhao et al. 2015). These biases in the mean climate will cause absolute values of wet bulb temperature to be biased too low, which is not an issue for the percentile-based 763 heatwave metric used in this study, but is an issue for measures of humid heatwaves that use 764 absolute thresholds, such as the survivability threshold of 35°C in wet bulb temperature, 765 where sweating becomes ineffective (Sherwood; Huber 2010). Bias correction may not be 766 possible due to the lack of routine humidity observations over Africa. 767

This study uses reanalysis to document the differences in the present day drivers of T_{max} and T_{wbmax} heatwaves over the entire African continent. The timing of T_{max} and T_{wbmax} heatwave events within the annual cycle is different. T_{max} heatwaves occur in the warm and dry premonsoon months, such as March-May in the Sahel, consistent with previous literature (Barbier et al. 2018; Fontaine et al. 2013; Guigma et al. 2020), whereas T_{wbmax} heatwaves tend to occur at the start and/or during the rainy season (July-Sept in the Sahel), when temperatures remain reasonably high but humidity increases.

African T_{max} heatwaves are associated with low rainfall (only 2.5% of T_{max} heatwaves commence on wet days), decreased humidity and cloud, higher shortwave surface warming, and a high sensible heat flux. This is in broad agreement with Bouniol et al. (2021) and Hong et al. (2018), who both found similar drivers from observations for T_{max} heatwaves over the Sahel and Korean Peninsula respectively. In contrast, African T_{wbmax} heatwaves are associated with much larger humidity anomalies than dry bulb temperature anomalies. They are associated with rainfall, increased cloud and humidity, increased evaporation and increased

longwave warming within the atmospheric column i.e. the greenhouse warming effect. In
ERA5, 32% of T_{wbmax} heatwaves commence on wet days, compared to wet days occurring 23%
of the time in a weighted climatology. Through the process of evaporation, soil moisture could
be a key driver of African humid heatwaves during the wet season and should be a focus of
future research. In a pan-African sense, moisture and temperature advection appear to play
only a limited role in both types of heatwaves.

788 The T_{wbmax} heatwave drivers documented here, which are associated with moist processes, 789 are similar to those diagnosed by Bouniol et al. (2021) for daily minimum dry bulb 790 temperature (T_{min}) heatwaves. Given both T_{min} and T_{wbmax} heatwaves are associated with 791 increases in humidity, it is possible that the differences between P25 and CP4 for T_{min} 792 heatwaves would be the similar to those documented here for T_{wbmax} heatwaves. Bouniol et 793 al. (2021) also found reduced and increased aerosol load during T_{max} and T_{min} heatwaves respectively. Dust and aerosol are not considered in this study, but their impact on Twbmax 794 795 heatwaves should be explored in future work.

796 Both P25 and CP4 simulate a hot spot of large future change in T_{wbmax} heatwaves over the 797 equatorial regions of Africa, consistent with previous research on humid heatwaves (Coffel et 798 al. 2017; Mora et al. 2017). This hotspot is co-located with a large future increase in mean specific humidity over the equator in both models. This study, for the first time, compares the 799 800 drivers of present day T_{wbmax} heatwaves over different regions of Africa. Outside the 801 equatorial belt, the drivers of T_{wbmax} heatwaves are similar to the pan-African mean described 802 above. The equatorial belt is climatologically moister and experiences higher rainfall than 803 elsewhere. In contrast to elsewhere, T_{wbmax} heatwaves are driven by both temperature and 804 humidity anomalies (rather than predominantly by humidity). The heatwaves occur under 805 increased shortwave surface heating at the same time as increased evaporation from rainfall. 806 Large regional variations in the drivers of African humid heatwaves (relative to the more consistent drivers of T_{max} heatwaves) is consistent with the findings of Raymond et al. (2021) 807 808 who studies a number of different regions around the world.

The results from this study highlight the potential for an increase in co-occurring or consecutive events ("compound hazards") of African heatwaves, heavy rainfall and flooding, as has been observed in other regions such as China and the USA (Liao et al. 2021; Raghavendra et al. 2019; You; Wang 2021). Both P25 and CP4 simulate future increases in the

percentage of T_{wbmax} heatwaves that occur on days with >10 mm daily rainfall accumulations.
In CP4 this increase extends to days with >50 mm daily rainfall accumulations (Table S1).
Humid heat events occurring at the same time as heavy rainfall and flooding could potentially
overwhelm road, hospital and power infrastructure and lead to a higher number of fatalities
and economic damage than if these events occurred in isolation (Zhang; Villarini 2020).

818 T_{wbmax} heatwaves are driven by moist processes. The fundamental difference between CP4 and P25 model configurations is the representation of convection, so the reason for the larger 819 820 future change in humid heatwaves in CP4 must originate from its representation of moist processes. CP4 simulates larger anomalies than P25 in almost all the key Twbmax heatwave 821 822 drivers (temperature, humidity, cloud, rainfall, radiation, turbulent fluxes) in the future 823 compared to the present day. This is true in all the sub regions apart from equatorial regions 824 of Central Africa and the Gulf of Guinea, where the difference in the future change in Twbmax heatwaves in P25 and CP4 is much smaller. Previous studies show that convective-scale 825 826 climate models are better able to represent extremes and respond realistically to environmental controls, giving a greater intensification of rainfall under climate change (Ban 827 828 et al. 2020; Birch et al. 2014a; Chan et al. 2016; Finney et al. 2020; Finney et al. 2019; 829 Fitzpatrick et al. 2020b; Jackson et al. 2020; Prein et al. 2015).

Climate models with parameterised convection, such as those used in the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP), have similar issues with moist processes as P25 (Fiedler et al. 2020), so they may also underestimate the future change in humid heatwaves. This heightens the need for mitigation and adaptation strategies and also indicates that, if anything, there is even less time available to implement such changes to avoid catastrophic future heat conditions than previously thought.

836 The analysis in this study has highlighted that it is critical to account for the fact that the mean diurnal cycle of humidity is out of phase with the mean diurnal cycle of dry bulb temperature: 837 838 specific humidity peaks overnight but dry bulb temperature peaks during the day. Previous studies using CMIP5 models (Coffel et al. 2017; Russo et al. 2017), for example, necessarily 839 840 use daily mean specific humidity to convert daily maximum dry bulb temperature to daily maximum wet bulb temperature, because sub daily diagnostics are generally unavailable. 841 Analysis in this study shows the values of daily maximum wet bulb temperature and the 842 subsequent heatwave diagnostics that are computed can be very sensitive to the choice of 843

humidity averaging period. Climate model simulations that are run in the future should, if practical, output hourly temperature and humidity data, or implement the computation of heat stress metrics within the model simulation, as recommended by Buzan et al. (2015).

847 Acknowledgements

848 This work was funded by the Department for International Development/Natural Environment Research Council via the Future Climate for Africa (FCFA) funded project 849 Improving Model Processes for African Climate (IMPALA, NE/M017214/1 and 850 851 NE/MO17176/1) and U.K. Research and Innovation as part of the Global Challenges Research 852 Fund, (GCRF African SWIFT, NE/P021077/1). Marsham and Finney were also funded via the FCFA HyCRISTAL (NE/M019985/1) project and Marsham by AMMA-2050 (NE/M019977/1). 853 Kendon gratefully acknowledges funding from the Joint U.K. BEIS/Defra Met Office Hadley 854 Centre Climate Programme (GA01101). Keane was supported by the Centre for 855 Environmental Computation and Modelling (CEMAC), University of Leeds. Birch and Chapman 856 were also supported through the Global Challenges Research Fund, AFRICAP programme, 857 Grant Number BB/P027784/1. We are grateful for the use of the Centre for Environmental 858 859 Data Analysis (CEDA)-Jasmin computing facilities, ERA5 data from the Copernicus Climate 860 Change Service (C3S) Climate Data Store, GPM-IMERG data from Goddard Earth Sciences Data and Information Services Centre, temperature observations from Berkeley Earth and the 861 Climatic Research Unit, and weather station observations from Niger through AMMA-CATCH, 862 from South Africa through FLUXNET and from the station in Central Sudan. 863

865 Data Availability Statement

866 A subset of the CP4 and P25 climate model data used in this study is freely available from the

867 Centre for Environmental Data Analysis at https://catalogue.ceda.ac.uk/uuid/a6114f2319b34a58964dfa5305652fc6, IMPALA: 868 Improving model processes for African climate, as cited in (Senior 2019a, 2019b, 2019c, 869 2019d). The full dataset is also freely available, but due its size, contact the lead author of this 870 871 paper for access. Daily maximum temperature observations used in this study are openly available from the Berkeley Earth Surface Temperature gridded dataset at 872 http://berkeleyearth.org/data/ as cited in Rohde; Hausfather (2020). The monthly mean 873 temperature observations used in this study are openly available from the Climatic Research 874 Unit at https://crudata.uea.ac.uk/cru/data/hrg/ as cited in Harris et al. (2020). The weather 875 station data from Demokeya, Kordofan, central Sudan is freely available from 876 https://www.hindawi.com/journals/dpis/2013/297973/dataset/ as cited in Ardö (2013), the 877 data from Banizoumbou, Niamey, Niger is freely available from AMMA-CATCH at 878 879 http://bd.amma-catch.org/ as cited in Lebel et al. (2009) and the data from Skukuza, South Africa is available from https://fluxnet.org/. The daily satellite rainfall retrievals used in this 880 study are freely available from Goddard Earth Sciences Data and Information Services Centre 881 at https://disc.gsfc.nasa.gov/datasets/GPM 3IMERGDF 06/summary, as cited in Huffman 882 (2014). The ERA5 reanalysis data is also freely available from the Copernicus Climate Change 883 Service (C3S) Climate Data Store at DOI: 10.24381/cds.adbb2d47 884 and DOI: 885 10.24381/cds.bd0915c6 as cited in Hersbach et al. (2020).

886 References

- Ardö, J., 2013: A 10-Year Dataset of Basic Meteorology and Soil Properties in Central Sudan. *Dataset Papers in Geosciences*, **2013**, 297973.
- Armstrong, B., and Coauthors, 2019: The Role of Humidity in Associations of High Temperature with
- 890 Mortality: A Multicountry, Multicity Study. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, **127**, 097007.
- Azongo, D. K., T. Awine, G. Wak, F. N. Binka, and A. Rexford Oduro, 2012: A time series analysis of
- weather variables and all-cause mortality in the Kasena-Nankana Districts of Northern Ghana, 1995–
 2010. *Global Health Action*, 5, 19073.
- 894 Ban, N., J. Rajczak, J. Schmidli, and C. Schär, 2020: Analysis of Alpine precipitation extremes using
- generalized extreme value theory in convection-resolving climate simulations. *Climate Dynamics*, 55,61-75.
- 897 Barbier, J., F. Guichard, D. Bouniol, F. Couvreux, and R. Roehrig, 2018: Detection of Intraseasonal
- Large-Scale Heat Waves: Characteristics and Historical Trends during the Sahelian Spring. *Journal of Climate*, **31**, 61-80.
- 900 Berthou, S., E. J. Kendon, D. P. Rowell, M. J. Roberts, S. Tucker, and R. A. Stratton, 2019a: Larger
- 901 Future Intensification of Rainfall in the West African Sahel in a Convection-Permitting Model.
- 902 *Geophysical Research Letters*, **46**, 13299-13307.
- 903 Berthou, S., D. P. Rowell, E. J. Kendon, M. J. Roberts, R. A. Stratton, J. A. Crook, and C. Wilcox, 2019b:
- 904 Improved climatological precipitation characteristics over West Africa at convection-permitting
 905 scales. *Climate Dynamics*, **53**, 1991-2011.
- Birch, C. E., J. H. Marsham, D. J. Parker, and C. M. Taylor, 2014a: The scale dependence and structure
 of convergence fields preceding the initiation of deep convection, 41, 4769-4776.
- Birch, C. E., D. J. Parker, J. H. Marsham, D. Copsey, and L. Garcia-Carreras, 2014b: A seamless
- assessment of the role of convection in the water cycle of the West African Monsoon. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **119**, 2890-2912.
- 911 Bouniol, D., F. Guichard, J. Barbier, F. Couvreux, and R. Roehrig, 2021: Sahelian Heat Wave
- 912 Characterization From Observational Data Sets, **126**, e2020JD034465.
- 913 Buzan, J. R., K. Oleson, and M. Huber, 2015: Implementation and comparison of a suite of heat stress
- 914 metrics within the Community Land Model version 4.5. *Geosci. Model Dev.*, **8**, 151-170.
- 915 Ceccherini, G., S. Russo, I. Ameztoy, A. F. Marchese, and C. Carmona-Moreno, 2017: Heat waves in
- 916 Africa 1981–2015, observations and reanalysis. *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, **17**, 115-125.
- 917 Chan, S. C., E. J. Kendon, N. M. Roberts, H. J. Fowler, and S. Blenkinsop, 2016: Downturn in scaling of
- 918 UK extreme rainfall with temperature for future hottest days. *Nature Geoscience*, **9**, 24-28.
- 919 Coffel, E. D., R. M. Horton, and A. de Sherbinin, 2017: Temperature and humidity based projections
- 920 of a rapid rise in global heat stress exposure during the 21st century. *Environmental Research*
- 921 *Letters*, **13**, 014001.
- 922 Couvreux, F., and Coauthors, 2015: Representation of daytime moist convection over the semi-arid
 923 Tropics by parametrizations used in climate and meteorological models, 141, 2220-2236.
- 924 Crook, J., C. Klein, S. Folwell, C. M. Taylor, D. J. Parker, R. Stratton, and T. Stein, 2019: Assessment of
- the Representation of West African Storm Lifecycles in Convection-Permitting Simulations, 6, 818-835.
- Davies-Jones, R., 2008: An Efficient and Accurate Method for Computing the Wet-Bulb Temperature
 along Pseudoadiabats. *Monthly Weather Review*, **136**, 2764-2785.
- Diboulo, E., A. Sié, J. Rocklöv, L. Niamba, M. Yé, C. Bagagnan, and R. Sauerborn, 2012: Weather and
- 930 mortality: a 10 year retrospective analysis of the Nouna Health and Demographic Surveillance
- 931 System, Burkina Faso. *Global Health Action*, **5**, 19078.
- 932 Dosio, A., 2017: Projection of temperature and heat waves for Africa with an ensemble of CORDEX
- 933 Regional Climate Models. *Climate Dynamics*, **49**, 493-519.
- Dosio, A., L. Mentaschi, E. M. Fischer, and K. Wyser, 2018: Extreme heat waves under 1.5 °C and 2 °C
- global warming. *Environmental Research Letters*, **13**, 054006.

- 936 Eyring, V., S. Bony, G. A. Meehl, C. A. Senior, B. Stevens, R. J. Stouffer, and K. E. Taylor, 2016:
- 937 Overview of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) experimental design and
 938 organization. *Geosci. Model Dev.*, **9**, 1937-1958.
- 939 Fiedler, S., and Coauthors, 2020: Simulated Tropical Precipitation Assessed across Three Major
- 940 Phases of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP). *Monthly Weather Review*, **148**, 3653-941 3680.
- 942 Finney, D. L., J. H. Marsham, D. P. Rowell, E. J. Kendon, S. O. Tucker, R. A. Stratton, and L. S. Jackson,
- 943 2020: Effects of Explicit Convection on Future Projections of Mesoscale Circulations, Rainfall, and
- Rainfall Extremes over Eastern Africa. *Journal of Climate*, **33**, 2701-2718.
- 945 Finney, D. L., and Coauthors, 2019: Implications of Improved Representation of Convection for the
- East Africa Water Budget Using a Convection-Permitting Model. *Journal of Climate*, **32**, 2109-2129.
- 947 Fischer, E. M., and R. Knutti, 2013: Robust projections of combined humidity and temperature
- 948 extremes. *Nature Climate Change*, **3**, 126-130.
- 949 Fitzpatrick, R. G. J., and Coauthors, 2020a: How a typical West African day in the future-climate
- 950 compares with current-climate conditions in a convection-permitting and parameterised convection951 climate model. *Climatic Change*, **163**, 267-296.
- 952 Fitzpatrick, R. G. J., and Coauthors, 2020b: What Drives the Intensification of Mesoscale Convective
- 953 Systems over the West African Sahel under Climate Change? *Journal of Climate*, **33**, 3151-3172.
- 954 Fontaine, B., S. Janicot, and P.-A. Monerie, 2013: Recent changes in air temperature, heat waves
- 955 occurrences, and atmospheric circulation in Northern Africa. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **118**,
 956 8536-8552.
- 957 Gregory, D., and P. R. Rowntree, 1990: A Mass Flux Convection Scheme with Representation of Cloud
- 958 Ensemble Characteristics and Stability-Dependent Closure. *Monthly Weather Review*, **118**, 1483-959 1506.
- 960 Guigma, K. H., M. Todd, and Y. Wang, 2020: Characteristics and thermodynamics of Sahelian
- heatwaves analysed using various thermal indices. *Climate Dynamics*, **55**, 3151-3175.
- 962 Guigma, K. H., F. Guichard, M. Todd, P. Peyrille, and Y. Wang, 2021: Atmospheric tropical modes are 963 important drivers of Sahelian springtime heatwaves. *Climate Dynamics*, **56**, 1967-1987.
- 964 Gutowski Jr, W. J., and Coauthors, 2016: WCRP COordinated Regional Downscaling EXperiment
- 965 (CORDEX): a diagnostic MIP for CMIP6. *Geosci. Model Dev.*, **9**, 4087-4095.
- Harrington, L. J., and F. E. L. Otto, 2020: Reconciling theory with the reality of African heatwaves.
 Nature Climate Change, **10**, 796-798.
- Harris, I., T. J. Osborn, P. Jones, and D. Lister, 2020: Version 4 of the CRU TS monthly high-resolution
 gridded multivariate climate dataset. *Scientific Data*, 7, 109.
- 970 Hart, N. C. G., R. Washington, and R. A. Stratton, 2018: Stronger Local Overturning in Convective-
- 971 Permitting Regional Climate Model Improves Simulation of the Subtropical Annual Cycle, 45, 11,334-972 311,342.
- Hersbach, H., and Coauthors, 2020: The ERA5 global reanalysis. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorlogical Society*, **146**, 1999-2049.
- Hong, J.-S., S.-W. Yeh, and K.-H. Seo, 2018: Diagnosing Physical Mechanisms Leading to Pure Heat
 Waves Versus Pure Tropical Nights Over the Korean Peninsula, **123**, 7149-7160.
- 977 Huffman, G., D. Bolvin, D. Braithwaite, K. Hsu, R. Joyce, P. Xie, 2014: Integrated Multi-satellitE
- 978 Retrievals for GPM (IMERG), version 6, NASA's Precipitation Processing Center, accessed 23 June
 979 2020, <u>ftp://arthurhou.pps.eosdis.nasa.gov/gpmdata/</u>.
- 980 Im, E.-S., J. S. Pal, and E. A. B. Eltahir, 2017: Deadly heat waves projected in the densely populated
 981 agricultural regions of South Asia. *Science Advances*, **3**, e1603322.
- 982 Jackson, L. S., and Coauthors, 2020: The Effect of Explicit Convection on Couplings between Rainfall,
- 983 Humidity, and Ascent over Africa under Climate Change. *Journal of Climate*, **33**, 8315-8337.
- 984 Kendon, E. J., A. F. Prein, C. A. Senior, and A. Stirling, 2021: Challenges and outlook for convection-
- 985 permitting climate modelling, **379**, 20190547.

- 986 Kendon, E. J., N. M. Roberts, H. J. Fowler, M. J. Roberts, S. C. Chan, and C. A. Senior, 2014: Heavier
- 987 summer downpours with climate change revealed by weather forecast resolution model. *Nature*988 *Climate Change*, **4**, 570-576.
- 989 Kendon, E. J., R. A. Stratton, S. Tucker, J. H. Marsham, S. Berthou, D. P. Rowell, and C. A. Senior,
- 2019: Enhanced future changes in wet and dry extremes over Africa at convection-permitting scale. *Nature Communications*, **10**, 1794.
- Kennedy-Asser, A. T., O. Andrews, D. M. Mitchell, and R. F. Warren, 2020: Evaluating heat extremes
 in the UK Climate Projections (UKCP18). *Environmental Research Letters*, 16.
- 994 Kjellstrom, T., D. Briggs, C. Freyberg, B. Lemke, M. Otto, and O. Hyatt, 2016: Heat, Human
- Performance, and Occupational Health: A Key Issue for the Assessment of Global Climate Change
 Impacts, **37**, 97-112.
- 2010 Source State State
- heatwave: when the water vapor greenhouse effect drives nighttime temperatures. *Climate Dynamics*, 54, 3879-3905.
- Lebel, T., and Coauthors, 2009: AMMA-CATCH studies in the Sahelian region of West-Africa: An overview. *Journal of Hydrology*, **375**, 3-13.
- Liao, Z., Y. Chen, W. Li, and P. Zhai, 2021: Growing Threats From Unprecedented Sequential Flood-
- 1003 Hot Extremes Across China, 48, e2021GL094505.
- Lyon, B., 2009: Southern Africa Summer Drought and Heat Waves: Observations and Coupled Model
 Behavior. *Journal of Climate*, **22**, 6033-6046.
- 1006 Mora, C., and Coauthors, 2017: Global risk of deadly heat. *Nature Climate Change*, **7**, 501-506.
- 1007 Moron, V., B. Oueslati, B. Pohl, S. Rome, and S. Janicot, 2016: Trends of mean temperatures and
- warm extremes in northern tropical Africa (1961–2014) from observed and PPCA-reconstructed time
 series. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **121**, 5298-5319.
- 1010 Moss, R. H., and Coauthors, 2010: The next generation of scenarios for climate change research and 1011 assessment. *Nature*, **463**, 747-756.
- 1012 Oueslati, B., B. Pohl, V. Moron, S. Rome, and S. Janicot, 2017: Characterization of Heat Waves in the 1013 Sahel and Associated Physical Mechanisms. *Journal of Climate*, **30**, 3095-3115.
- 1014 Pal, J. S., and E. A. B. Eltahir, 2016: Future temperature in southwest Asia projected to exceed a
- 1015 threshold for human adaptability. *Nature Climate Change*, **6**, 197-200.
- Pastorello, G., and Coauthors, 2020: The FLUXNET2015 dataset and the ONEFlux processing pipeline
 for eddy covariance data. *Scientific Data*, **7**, 225.
- 1018 Perkins-Kirkpatrick, S. E., and S. C. Lewis, 2020: Increasing trends in regional heatwaves. *Nature* 1019 *Communications*, **11**, 3357.
- 1020 Prein, A. F., and Coauthors, 2015: A review on regional convection-permitting climate modeling:
- 1021 Demonstrations, prospects, and challenges. *Reviews of Geophysics*, **53**, 323-361.
- 1022 Raghavendra, A., A. Dai, S. M. Milrad, and S. R. Cloutier-Bisbee, 2019: Floridian heatwaves and
- 1023 extreme precipitation: future climate projections. *Climate Dynamics*, **52**, 495-508.
- 1024 Raymond, C., and Coauthors, 2021: On the Controlling Factors for Globally Extreme Humid Heat, 48,1025 e2021GL096082.
- 1026 Reynolds, R. W., T. M. Smith, C. Liu, D. B. Chelton, K. S. Casey, and M. G. Schlax, 2007: Daily High-
- 1027 Resolution-Blended Analyses for Sea Surface Temperature. *Journal of Climate*, **20**, 5473-5496.
- 1028 Rohde, R. A., and Z. Hausfather, 2020: The Berkeley Earth Land/Ocean Temperature Record. *Earth* 1029 *Syst. Sci. Data*, **12**, 3469-3479.
- Russo, S., J. Sillmann, and E. M. Fischer, 2015: Top ten European heatwaves since 1950 and their
 occurrence in the coming decades. *Environmental Research Letters*, **10**.
- Russo, S., J. Sillmann, and A. Sterl, 2017: Humid heat waves at different warming levels. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 7477.
- 1034 Russo, S., A. Marchese, S. J, and G. Immé, 2016: When will unusual heat waves become normal in a
- 1035 warming Africa? *Environmental Research Letters*, **11**.

- 1036 Seneviratne et al., S. I., X. Zhang, M. Adnan, W. Badi, C. Dereczynski, A. Di Luca, S. Ghosh, I. Iskandar,
- 1037 J. Kossin, S. Lewis, F. Otto, I. Pinto, M. Satoh, S.M. Vicente-Serrano, M. Wehner, and B. Zhou,, 2021:
- 1038 Weather and Climate Extreme Events in a Changing Climate. In Climate Change 2021: The Physical

1039 Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the

- 1040 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. [Masson-Delmotte, V., P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S.L. Connors,
- 1041 C. Péan, S. Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen, L. Goldfarb, M.I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J. B. R.
- Matthews, T. K. Maycock, T. Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu, and B. Zhou (eds.)]. Cambridge University
 Press. In Press. .
- Senior, C. A., 2019a: P25-Present: Present-day 25km regional pan-Africa data. Centre for
 Environmental Data Analysis,
- 1046 https://catalogue.ceda.ac.uk/uuid/4e362effa16146abbe45c2c58f1e54ed.
- 1047 ——, 2019b: CP4A-Present: Present-day climate predictions for Africa, Centre for Environmental
 1048 Data Analysis, <u>https://catalogue.ceda.ac.uk/uuid/f46b14e670fc49cbaadf108c969e7ee0</u>.
- 1049 ——, 2019c: P25-Future: Future 25km regional pan-Africa data. Centre for Environmental Data
 1050 Analysis, <u>https://catalogue.ceda.ac.uk/uuid/8f8eab18b07e420a9b7230d86ed172fe</u>.
- 1051 ——, 2019d: CP4A-Future: Future climate predictions for Africa. Centre for Environmental Data
 1052 Analysis, https://catalogue.ceda.ac.uk/uuid/a027093520b2432b8e782e3edefd6b47.
- 1052
 Analysis, <u>Inteps://catalogue.ceda.ac.uk/uul0/a02/0935200243208e/82e3edetd6b04/</u>.

 1052
 Senior C. A. and Coauthors. 2021. Convertion normitting regional alimete above a simulation.
- Senior, C. A., and Coauthors, 2021: Convection permitting regional climate change simulations for
 understanding future climate and informing decision making in Africa. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 1-46.
- 1056 Sherwood, S. C., 2018: How Important Is Humidity in Heat Stress? *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 1057 **123**, 11,808-811,810.
- Sherwood, S. C., and M. Huber, 2010: An adaptability limit to climate change due to heat stress. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **107**, 9552.
- 1060 Stratton, R. A., and Coauthors, 2018: A Pan-African Convection-Permitting Regional Climate
- 1061 Simulation with the Met Office Unified Model: CP4-Africa. *Journal of Climate*, **31**, 3485-3508.
- 1062 Taylor, C. M., C. E. Birch, D. J. Parker, N. Dixon, F. Guichard, G. Nikulin, and G. M. S. Lister, 2013:
- Modeling soil moisture-precipitation feedback in the Sahel: Importance of spatial scale versus
 convective parameterization. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 40, 6213-6218.
- Taylor, K. E., R. J. Stouffer, and G. A. Meehl, 2012: An Overview of CMIP5 and the Experiment Design. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, **93**, 485-498.
- 1067 Tölle, M. H., L. Schefczyk, and O. Gutjahr, 2018: Scale dependency of regional climate modeling of
- 1068 current and future climate extremes in Germany. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, **134**, 829-848.
- 1069 Vicedo-Cabrera, A. M., and Coauthors, 2021: The burden of heat-related mortality attributable to
- 1070 recent human-induced climate change. *Nature Climate Change*, **11**, 492-500.
- Walters, D., and Coauthors, 2017: The Met Office Unified Model Global Atmosphere 6.0/6.1 and
 JULES Global Land 6.0/6.1 configurations. *Geosci. Model Dev.*, **10**, 1487-1520.
- 1073 You, J., and S. Wang, 2021: Higher Probability of Occurrence of Hotter and Shorter Heat Waves
- 1074 Followed by Heavy Rainfall, **48**, e2021GL094831.
- 1075 Zhang, W., and G. Villarini, 2020: Deadly Compound Heat Stress-Flooding Hazard Across the Central1076 United States, 47, e2020GL089185.
- 1077 Zhao, Y., A. Ducharne, B. Sultan, P. Braconnot, and R. Vautard, 2015: Estimating heat stress from
- 1078 climate-based indicators: present-day biases and future spreads in the CMIP5 global climate model
- 1079 ensemble. *Environmental Research Letters*, **10**.
- 1080